

Arizona Beginning Teacher Induction Program Self-Assessment (Adapted Version)

Directions for Using this Program Self-Assessment:

This document is designed to assist LEA's that are either beginning an Induction and Mentoring program or those who currently have one in existence. It is recommended that LEA Induction Program and Mentoring Leadership Teams/Guiding Coalitions complete this self-assessment individually or collaboratively. After completing the self-assessment, compare the results to the Adapted Version of the Arizona Continuum of Beginning Teacher Induction Program Development.

When answering the questions, a response of 'Yes' means that critical element is 100% represented. A response of 'No' means that the critical element needs to be implemented or is identified as an area of need.

After completing an analysis of the Continuum, it is also recommended that reviewing your answers Program Self-Assessment is beneficial as an understanding of the critical elements of each Standard may become clearer for your individual program.

Completion of the Program Self-Assessment and Continuum review should occur a minimum of two times per year.

<u>Clarification of Term:</u> **Beginning Teacher** *is an educator in their first, second, or third year of teaching.* Districts/organizations are encouraged to broaden this definition to include a wider range of teachers who may require induction and mentoring support. (i.e., teachers new to the state or district, international teachers, those with moving to a different grade level/content area, teachers returning to education after a lengthy separation from the profession.) Throughout this document, the term 'beginning teacher' is intended to represent the wide range of potential induction program participants as listed above.

I. Organizational Standards

1. Program Structure

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence	in Program
Participating	1. All beginning teachers (years 0-3) participate in the induction	1. Yes	No
Educators	program and are assigned an instructional mentor.		
	2. An adapted induction program is available for others who	2. Yes	No
	might benefit (i.e., new to the state/district, international		
	teachers, or did not participate in a teacher preparation program).		
Program	Induction is provided to:	1. Yes	No
Duration	1. all beginning teachers for 2+ years, and		
	2. all other qualified educators for an appropriate length of time.	2. Yes	No
Program	There is an oversight committee that:	1. Yes	No
Leadership	1. is comprised of representatives from key departments within		
	the LEA (e.g., human resources, professional learning, curriculum,		
	special education services) OR all consortium members.		
	2. meets a minimum of three times each year to guide program		
	development, ensure program sustainability, and align the	2. Yes	No
	program with LEA/consortium goals.		

2. Program Vision, Model, and Institutional Commitment

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program
Program Rationale	There is a program rationale and goals that:	1. Yes No
	1. aligns with district needs, goals, and induction best	
	practices.	
	2. is understood and promoted by all stakeholders, and	2. Yes No
	3. is integrated into larger system goals and strategic plans.	3. Yes No
Program Model	The program:	1. Yes No
	1. integrates high-leverage and evidence-based mentoring	
	best practices,	
	and	2. Yes No
	2. draws upon/aligns with preservice and in-service	
	professional standards.	
Resourcing	1. Budget resources are consistently allocated to the	1. Yes No
	induction program at levels needed for sustained quality.	
	2. Leaders maintain sanctioned time for induction processes	2. Yes No
	and instructional mentoring during the school day.	

3. Program Leadership and Communication

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program
Program Leader	Induction program leaders are:	1. Yes No
Selection	1. fully qualified for the position, and	
	2. selected using a clearly articulated set of criteria and	2. Yes No
	processes.	

Program Leader	1. Induction program leaders have decision-making	1. Yes	No
Resourcing	authority over budgetary, resource requisition, and hiring		
	decisions to sustainably guide the induction program.		
	2. The program is situated as a key part of the organization's	2. Yes	No
	broader professional development and student learning		
	system.		
Stakeholder	1. The induction program is an integrated part of the	1. Yes	No
Communication	organization's broader professional learning system.		
	2. There is consistent two-way communication with	2. Yes	No
	stakeholders to ensure the program is implemented at a		
	high level, is fully integrated into broader organizational		
	systems, and is connected with other initiatives.		
	3. There are processes to regularly gather and review	3. Yes	No
	programmatic data and evaluation results for the purpose		
	of continuous improvement.		

4. School Leader Engagement

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence	e in Program
School Leader	1. There is a system for providing initial and ongoing	1. Yes	No
Induction	professional learning for school leaders about the induction		
Knowledge	program and their role.		
Development	2. School leaders ensure program implementation and	2. Yes	No
	advocate for policies and structures that support beginning		
	teacher success.		
School and	Program leaders collaborate with school leaders to:		
Program Leader	1. align/integrate induction with other school priorities;	1. Yes	No
Collaboration	2. recruit high-quality educators to mentor;	2. Yes	No
	3. oversee instructional mentoring in the building;	3. Yes	
	4. ensure induction supports are streamlined and non-	4. Yes	
	duplicative; and		
	5. problem-solve as needed.	5. Yes	No
School-Based	School leaders collaborate with instructional mentors to		
Induction Support	align support by:		
	1. conducting an initial orientation;	1. Yes	No
	2. creating beginning teachers and school leaders	2. Yes	No
	connection;		
	3. developing a positive climate for beginning teachers;	3. Yes	
	4. ensuring dedicated regular time during the school day for	4. Yes	No
	mentoring;		
	5. setting developmentally appropriate goals for beginning	5. Yes	No
	teachers;		
	6. regularly observing and offering feedback aligned with	6. Yes	No
	the beginning teachers' induction goals.		
School Leader &	School leaders and mentors:		
Instructional	1. engage in ongoing dialogue about the alignment of	1. Yes	No
Mentor	mentoring and professional learning support, and		
Collaboration	2. integrate induction into school initiatives and evaluation		
	processes.	2. Yes	No

Confidentiality	1. School leaders understand and honor the confidentiality	1. Yes	No
	of the instructional mentor-beginning teacher relationship.		

5. Program Assessment and Improvement

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program
Systematic Data	1. Evaluation systems are aligned with program and district	1. Yes No
Collection	mission/vision, data collection, and improvement strategies. 2. There are robust systems for collecting ongoing, longitudinal data from multiple internal and external	2. Yes No
	sources. 3. There is a regular process for systematically analyzing data.	3. Yes No
Data Usage	Program assessment: 1. drives continuous program improvement; 2. provides evidence of program quality; and 3. is regularly shared for the purpose of advocating for the program.	1. Yes No 2. Yes No 3. Yes No

II. Program	Stand	ard	S
-------------	-------	-----	---

6. Program Design

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program
Program Model	1.There is a sustainable induction program model that fully releases one instructional mentor to support up to 15 beginning teachers OR partially releases an instructional mentor from classroom responsibilities to mentor up to three beginning teachers for every release period provided.	1. Yes No
Support and	1. There is a comprehensive professional learning program	1. Yes No
Resourcing	for instructional mentors to ensure they are highly trained to work with induction program participants.	2 Vos No
	2. Instructional mentors are appropriately compensated and have access to the resources, materials, and technologies necessary for their roles.	2. Yes No
	3. Program, system, and school leaders collaborate to ensure instructional mentors and beginning teachers have sufficient time during the school day to collaborate and observe instruction.	3. Yes No
Community of Service	The induction program is structured so instructional mentors serve for at least three consecutive years. The district/LEA intentionally incorporates instructional	1. Yes No
	mentoring into its leadership development pipeline.	2. Yes No

7. Instructional Mentor Selection, Assignment, and Responsibilities

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program
Roles and	1. There are clearly defined roles/responsibilities for	1. Yes No
Responsibilities	instructional mentors.	
	2. Stakeholders ensure instructional mentors do not assume	2. Yes No
	roles beyond their job description.	
Selection Process	Stakeholders collaborate to identify, recruit, and select	
	strong veteran teachers to serve as instructional mentors.	
	Processes include:	
	1. a clear set of selection criteria for instructional mentors	1. Yes No
	and	
	2. a transparent/rigorous process for instructional mentor	2. Yes No
	selection.	
Assignment	1. There are systems in place to quickly and efficiently	1. Yes No
	identify induction program participants.	
	2. Induction program participants are assigned to an	2. Yes No
	instructional mentor within two weeks of hire or at the	
	beginning of the school year.	
	3. There is a well-defined process to match instructional	3. Yes No
	mentors with beginning teachers/other participants.	
Reassignment	1. There are protocols for identifying and responding to	1. Yes No
Protocols	challenges in instructional mentoring partnerships.	

8. Instructional Mentor Professional Development

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program
Structure, Duration	Instructional mentors receive evidence-based professional	
and Content	development to equip them for successful work with	
	beginning teachers that includes:	
	1. systematic, ongoing, and regular opportunities to deepen	1. Yes No
	their knowledge and skill as instructional mentors.	
	2. time to learn about content, best practices, initiatives, and	2. Yes No
	topics of importance to the district/LEA.	
	3. time to set goals, practice, problem-solve, exchange ideas	3. Yes No
	and best practices, receive coaching, and grow in their	
	practice.	
	4. an adaptable curriculum based on programmatic data.	4. Yes No
	5. learning that occurs during school hours.	5. Yes No

9. Mentor Assessment

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program
Instructional	1. There is a systematic process to assess the quality of	1. Yes No
Mentor	services provided by instructional mentors in alignment with	
Assessment Data	program goals.	
Collection	2. Multiple sources of qualitative and quantitative evidence	2. Yes No
	are gathered to understand the quality of instructional	
	mentoring.	
	3. Data provides a clear picture of services provided by	3. Yes No
	individual instructional mentors and team members.	
Instructional	1. The instructional mentoring program is built around a set	1. Yes No
Mentor Standards	of standards that identify the qualities of effective	
	instructional mentors.	2. Yes No
	2. Mentoring standards are used to collaboratively set	
	professional goals with instructional mentors and guide	
	mentor program development.	
Instructional	1. There are systems to provide regular, formative, data-	1. Yes No
Mentor Formative	informed feedback to instructional mentors individually and	
Feedback	as a group.	
	2. Program leaders work with instructional mentors to	2. Yes No
	review data to develop individualized professional goals and	
	action plans.	
Use of	1. There is a transparent process that ensures only successful	1. Yes No
Instructional	instructional mentors are retained to maintain program	
Mentor Data for	quality.	
Program	2. Program leaders systematically share data analyses with	2. Yes No
Improvement	the oversight committee and other key stakeholders as part	
	of the broader program assessment and improvement efforts.	

	uction		

10. Beginning Teacher Onboarding and Professional Learning

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program	
Induction Program	1. There is an initial onboarding for all participants to learn	1. Yes No	
Participant	about the district/school/community, and meet leaders,		
Onboarding	colleagues, and their instructional mentors.	2. Yes No	
	2. The orientation is part of a comprehensive onboarding		
	process.		
Job-Embedded	1. All stakeholders agree that one-to-one, job-embedded	1. Yes No	
Mentoring	confidential mentoring by a highly trained instructional		
	mentor is the primary process by which beginning teachers		
	are professionally supported and developed.		
Streamlined	1. There are additional job-embedded professional learning	1. Yes No	
Professional	opportunities/training sessions, specifically for beginning		

Learning for	teachers in alignment with school, district/LEA, and state		
Induction Program	professional priorities.		
Participants	2. Instructional mentors attend training sessions or attend parallel sessions to ensure the development of common knowledge and prepare to support the enactment of new ideas and skills.	2. Yes	No
	3. Professional learning experiences for program participants are streamlined and non-duplicative to avoid overwhelming them.	3. Yes	No

11. Instructionally Focused Mentoring

Criteria	Critical Elements	Presence in Program	
Instructionally 1. Instructional mentors consistently spend a majority of		1. Yes No	
Focused Mentoring	their time with beginning teachers engaged in intentional		
	coaching conversations around critical topics as outlined in		
	this standard and as appropriate to/specified by the		
	district/LEA.		

13. Structures for Beginning Teacher Professional Learning

Criteria	Critical Elements		Presence in Program	
Professional	1. Program participants have an individualized professional	1. Yes	No	
Learning Plan	learning plan aligned with district, program, and participant			
	needs and goals.			
Inquiry Cycle	Instructional mentors organize their work with beginning			
Model	teachers around a clearly defined plan-teach-reflect cycle			
	which includes:			
	1. Regular observation and feedback;	1. Yes	_ No	
	2. Reflective conferences and problem-solving;	2. Yes	_ No	
	3. Collaborative analysis of student work;	3. Yes	_ No	
	4. Co-planning/teaching and/or modeling of instructional	4. Yes	_ No	
	practice;			
	5. Organize meetings with or observations of other teachers,	5. Yes	_ No	
and				
	6. Support locating, selecting, and adapting resources.	6. Yes	_ No	
Instructional	1. There is a robust set of instructional mentoring tools or		No	
Mentoring Tools	protocols to support instructional mentors in guiding			
and Protocols	beginning teachers through the stages of the inquiry cycle.			
	2. Instructional mentors are well-trained to use these tools	2. Yes	_ No	
	to build beginning teachers' knowledge and instructional			
	practice in authentic and positive ways that build schema,			
	efficacy, and independence.			
Professional	1. Instructional mentors intentionally and strategically	1. Yes	_ No	
Learning	connect beginning teachers with others in the school,			
Community	district/LEA, and community to assist the induction program			
	participant in building a professional network and support			
	system that extends beyond the induction program.			

Overall Program Analysis

1. Areas of Program Strength:	2. Areas for Program Focus:
1. Alcas of Flogram Strength.	Z. Alcas for Flogram Focus.

3.	Next Steps:	4.	Resources/Supports Needed: