

IDEA MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT

March 1, 2024

ESS Program Management

Book an Appointment with ESS Program Management

Book time with Trainor, Candice: FY24 IDEA Maintenance of Effort Compliance Testing



Spend 30 minutes with ESS Program Management to discuss the MOE Compliance test results for your PEA. When setting up the appointment, please include your PEA name and CTDS number or Entity ID. Also, feel free to forward your meeting invitation to your colleagues responsible for the IDEA grants or Annual Financial Report submission.

Effort Co	24 IDEA Maintenance of ompliance Testing 124 2:15 PM - 2:45 PM Arizona	×
Name *		
Trainor, Ca	ndice	
Email *		
Candice.Tra	ainor@azed.gov	
Notes		
PEA Name 030267000	= Mt. Humprhey's Unified, CTDS), 99999	
		20
	Book	

List of Terms

- IDEA: Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
- ADE/ESS: Arizona Department of Education Exceptional Student Services
- PEA: Public Education Agency
- IDEA Part B Funds: IDEA Section 611
 and Section 619 grants
- Budget: Adopted Budget due to School Finance on July 15
- AFR: Annual Financial Report due to School Finance on October 15

- Comparison Year Value: The last year the PEA passed the MOE testing method to establish compliance or eligibility standard
- Testing year: The year that the PEA is testing the Adopted Budget or AFR
- Compliance Test: Compares the PEA's spending in fiscal year against the comparison year values (AFR to AFR)
- Eligibility test: compares the PEA's Adopted Budget against the comparison year values (Budget to AFR)

What Is Public Education Agency Maintenance of Effort?

The local maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement obligates any public education agency (PEA) receiving IDEA Part B funds to budget and spend at least the same amount of local – or state and local – funds for the education of children with disabilities on a year-to-year basis. The required MOE levels for budgeting and spending are referred to, respectively, as the "eligibility standard" and the "compliance standard."

Eligibility standard = required MOE levels for budgeting

Compliance standard = required MOE levels for spending

CIFR Quick Reference Guide

Why Is PEA Maintenance of Effort Important?

- The intent behind the PEA MOE requirement is to help ensure that the PEA is expending at least a certain level of non-federal funds for the education of students with disabilities.
 - The United States Department of Education ensures that the ADE meets the requirements for Maintenance of State Financial Support (MFS)
 - ADE ensures that PEAs maintain levels of fiscal support through the MOE tests twice a year
- The PEA MOE tests ensure that federal funds are used to *supplement not supplant* local fiscal efforts.

What Are the Consequences for Failing to Meet the MOE Standards?

MOE Eligibility (budget) standard: the PEA may not receive IDEA Part B funds.

Failing to meet the MOE Eligibility standard will prevent the PEA's IDEA Part B funding application from receiving substantial approval at the beginning of the project period in July.

34 CFR § 300.203 (d)

MOE Compliance (expenditure) standard: the ADE must repay the US Department of Education

The amount owed is the difference between what the PEA actually spent and what it should have spent to meet the MOE requirement or the amount the PEA received from Part B funds for that fiscal year, whichever is lower.

The ADE can require the PEA to submit payment or can opt to cover the penalty itself.

How Is MOE Calculated in Arizona?

The PEA's expenditures, or budget, are tested against comparison year values. If the testing year data meets or exceeds the value, it will pass the testing method.

Method 1: Local only

Method 2: State and local funds combined

Method 3: Local only on a per capita basis

Method 4: State and local funds combined on a per capita basis

IDEA Fund Compliance Test



Calculate with allowed exceptions/reductions?

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2022 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2022 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023 Amount		N/A	\$1,545,352.00	N/A	\$5,050.13
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$1,521,666.00	N/A	\$5,275.53
Difference		N/A	\$23,686.00	N/A	(\$225.40)
2023 SPED Count				N/A	x 306.0027
Total Difference		N/A	\$23,686.00	N/A	(\$68,973.35)
Status	Met	N/A	Met	N/A	Not Met

Method 2: State and Local Aggregate

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 2



Method 2: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Compared to Actual Expenditures for the Last Year Compliance Method 2 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost
2023	\$1,545,352.00
2022	\$1,521,666.00
Difference	\$23,686.00
Status	Met

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

Method 4: State and Local Per Capita

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4



Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amounts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023	\$1,545,352.00	306.0027	\$5,050.13
2022	\$1,521,666.00	288.4387	\$5,275.53
Difference			(\$225.40)
Status			Not Met

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

Where Do Values for State and Local Funds Come From?

ile Submission Status		Lea Type*	
2023 😵	×	All	~
Document Template Name		EdOrg Name/CTDS/EdOrg ID	
District Annual Financial Report	•	Select EdOrg Name/CTDS/EdOrg Id	•
Finance System		Update Submission Status Details	
	Repo		
ile Submission Status	Repo		
ile Submission Status	Repo	rt	•
ile Submission Status		rt Lea Type*	~

The most recent District Annual Financial Report or Charter Holders Annual Financial Report

ESS sums the total reported in M&O spending for All Disability Classifications (line 1) and IEP-Required Transportation (line 9 or 10) on page 8 of the AFR for school districts and page 7 for charter schools.

These values are compared against the values on page 2 (M&O).

If there is a discrepancy between page 2 and page 7 or 8, ESS cannot perform the MOE test.

District AFR Values

456,397 10.

328,000

B. M&O SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS BY TYPE			
(A.R.S. § 15-761)	PROGRAM	PROGRAM]
	200 & 300	200 & 300	
	BUDGET	ACTUAL	
1. Total All Disability Classifications	6,540,300	6,033,776	1.
2. Gifted Education	675,000	654,312	2.
3. Remedial Education	0	0	3.
4. ELL Incremental Costs	499,000	456,271	4.
5. ELL Compensatory Instruction	0	0	5.
6. Vocational and Technological Education (non-CTED)	0	0	6.
7. Career Education	0	0	7.
8. Career Technical Education (CTED programs in 300 range)	0	0	8.
9. Total (lines 1-8)	7,714,300	7,144,359	9.

10. IEP required pupil transportation costs

coded within Program 400

District AFR compares pages 2 and 8.

The value on page 2, line 24 subtotal should be equal to the value on page 8, line 9 to reflect all M&O spending from the special education program.

The MOE calculation sums lines 1 and 10 on page 8.

MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION FUND (001)-EXPENDITURES

			Employee	Purchased Services				Totals		% Increase/	
Expenditures		Salaries	Benefits	6300, 6400,	Supplies	Other	Budget	Actual	Prior Year Actual	Decrease in	
		6100	6200	6500	6,600	6,800	Dudget	Actual	Thor real Actual	Actual	
200 and 300 Special Education											
1000 Instruction	15.	2,668,378	972,238	415,674	20,224	0	4,625,500	4,076,514	4,305,323	-5.3%	15.
2000 Support Services											
2100 Students	16.	1,616,202	561,430	367,450	36,897	1,050	2,547,000	2,583,029	2,351,592	9.8%	16.
2200 Instructional Staff	17.	344,356	98,556	35,726	2,868	1,878	536,800	483,384	419,001	15.4%	17.
2300 General Administration	18.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	18.
2400 School Administration	19.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	19.
2500 Central Services	20.	0	0	1,372	0	0	5,000	1,372	220	523.6%	20.
2600 Operation & Maintenance of Plant	21.	0	0	60	0	0	0	60	0		21.
2900 Other	22.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	22.
3000 Operation of Noninstructional Services	23.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	23.
Subtotal (lines 15-23)	24.	4,628,936	1,632,224	820,282	59,989	2,928	7,714,300	7,144,359	7,076,136	1.0%	24.
400 Pupil Transportation	25.	1,091,343	452,018	336,111	289,477	0	2,250,000	2,168,949	1,497,509	44.8%	25.

Charter AFR Values



IEP

District AFR compares pages 2 and 7.

The value on page 2, line 28 subtotal should be equal to the value on page 7, line 8 to reflect all M&O spending from the special education program.

The MOE calculation sums lines 1 and 9 on page 7.

Instructions			Employee	Purchased				Totals		% Increase/	1
Expenses		Salaries	benefits	services	Supplies	Other			Prior year	decrease in	
1000 Schoolwide Project and 1500-1999 Other Specia	l Project	6100	6200	6300, 6400, 6500	6600	6800	Budget	Actual	actual	actual	
200 Special education											
1000 Instruction	17.	123,394	37,596	43,907	7,517	0	213,124	212,414	195,545	8.63%	17.
2000 Support services	Γ										1
2100 Students	18.	0	0	0	0	0	37,000	0	554	-100.00%	18.
2200 Instruction	19.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	500	-100.00%	19.
2300 General administration	20.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	20.
2400 School administration	21.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	21.
2500 Central services	22.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	22.
2600 Operation & maintenance of plant	23.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	23.
2900 Other support services	24.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	24.
3000 Operation of noninstructional services	25.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	25.
4000 Facilities acquisition & construction	26.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	26.
5000 Debt service	27.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	27.
Subtotal (lines 17-27)	28.	123,394	37,596	43,907	7,517	0	250,124	212,414	196,599	8.04%	28.
400 Pupil transportation	29.	0	11	24,536	4,990	448	14,947	29,985	12,643	137.17%	29.
COO D I II	0.0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0.000/	No.

Manual MOE Calculation

	1	1	-				-		
		190060					190060		
	Method 2	2022	2023	3 Difference		Method 4	2022	2023	Difference
Salaries		\$ 1,038,851.00	\$ 1,045,057.00	\$ 6,206.00			\$ 1.038.851.00	\$ 1,045,057.00	\$ 6,206.00
Benefits		\$ 393,889.00					\$ 393,889.00		\$ (3,733.0
Purchased Services		\$ 79,865.00	\$ 98,235.00	\$ 18,370.00			\$ 79,865.00	\$ 98,235.00	\$ 18,370.0
Supplies		\$ 6,761.00	\$ 9,604.00	\$ 2,843.00			\$ 6,761.00	\$ 9,604.00	\$ 2,843.0
Other		\$ 2,300.00	\$ 2,300.00	\$ -			\$ 2,300.00	\$ 2,300.00	\$ -
Transportation		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Non-SPED Expenses		\$ -	\$ -	\$-			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		\$ 1,521,666.00	\$ 1,545,352.00	\$ 23,686.00			\$ 1,521,666.00	\$ 1,545,352.00	\$ 23,686.0
Exceptions									
IDEA Basic	\$ 502,775.15								
IDEA Preschool	\$ 9,834.58				SPED Count		288.4387	306.0027	
ARP IDEA Basic	\$ 67,140.77								
ARP IDEA Preschool	\$ 1,871.02						\$ 5,275.53	\$ 5,050.13	\$ (225.4)
Total received in 2023	\$ 581,621.52								\$ (68,973.3
		Overall Test	Met						
		MOE Failure:	\$ 23,686.00				SPED20 FY22	SPED20 FY23	Student decrease
		ARP and IDEA	\$ 581,621.52				288.4387	306.0027	17.56
				Method 2	Met				
		Balance Due	\$-	Method 4	Not Met		SPED student Decrease	Doesn't Apply	
				Overall Test	Met		17.564	\$ 5,275.53	\$ 92,659.3

Preparation for the MOE Compliance Testing Window

- PEAs at risk of failing to meet MOE Compliance standards were notified by ESS Program Management during the month of February
- PEAs must validate that the amounts reported on page 7 or 8 reflect only M&O expenses, no federal funds
- PEAs must reconcile any discrepancies between the amounts reported on page 2 and 7/8
- If necessary, <u>a revision of the AFR should be uploaded to School Finance</u>
- Determine if the decrease in M&O spending is considered an allowable exception to Maintenance of Effort

What if the PEA Doesn't Meet the Standards on One or More of the Methods?

During the MOE Compliance testing window, PEAs can:

- Submit Local Only funds, if applicable
- Submit allowable exceptions (A-E) to establish a new compliance threshold
 - Special Education staff departure
 - Decrease in SPED enrollment
 - Termination of obligation of child's program
 - Termination of costly long-term purchase
 - Assumption of cost by High-Cost fund operated by the SEA
- <u>Contact ESS Program Management</u> if 2023 AFR data was incorrectly reported and a revised AFR has been accepted by ADE School Finance

<u>34 CFR § 300.24 – Exception to</u> <u>Maintenance of Effort</u>

§ 300.204 Exception to maintenance of effort.

Notwithstanding the restriction in $\underline{\$ 300.203(b)}$, an LEA may reduce the level of expenditures by the LEA under Part B of the <u>Act</u> below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year if the reduction is attributable to any of the following:

(a) The voluntary departure, by retirement or otherwise, or departure for just cause, of special education or related services personnel.

(b) A decrease in the enrollment of children with disabilities.

(c) The termination of the obligation of the agency, consistent with this part, to provide a program of special education to a particular child with a disability that is an exceptionally costly program, as determined by the SEA, because the child -

(1) Has left the jurisdiction of the agency;

(2) Has reached the age at which the obligation of the agency to provide FAPE to the child has terminated; or

(3) No longer needs the program of special education.

(d) The termination of costly expenditures for long-term purchases, such as the acquisition of equipment or the construction of school facilities.

(e) The assumption of cost by the high cost fund operated by the SEA under § 300.704(c).

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1820-0600) (Authority: <u>20 U.S.C. 1413(a)(2)(B)</u>) [71 FR 46753, Aug. 14, 2006, as amended at 80 FR 23667, Apr. 28, 2015] Every PEA in the state is eligible to submit exceptions in the MOE application to justify the decrease spending/budgeting levels.

Only those exceptions submitted during the MOE Compliance test can change the thresholds for future compliance and eligibility tests. If a PEA submitted exceptions in the FY24 MOE Eligibility test, it should submit those same exceptions in the FY24 MOE Compliance test to lock in the reduced spending threshold.

A. Special Education Staff Departures

B. Decrease in SPED Enrollment

C. Termination of Obligations

D. Termination of Costly Long-Term Purchases

E. The Assumption of Cost by the High Cost Fund Operated by the SEA $% \left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{A}\right) =\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{A}\right) \left(\mathcal{A}_{i}$

F. Allowable Adjustment to Local Fiscal Efforts

Consult with PEA Business Manager

Look for decreases in expenditures as reported on the 2023 AFR to determine if the decrease fits an allowable exception. These values come from Program 200 for Special Education on page 2 of the AFR.

	Method 2	2022	2023		Dif	ference
Salaries		\$ 3,987,848.00	\$	3,954,040.00	\$	(33,808.00)
Benefits		\$ 1,280,897.00	\$	1,222,082.00	\$	(58,815.00)
Purchased Services		\$ 1,493,323.00	\$	1,129,248.00	\$	(364,075.00)
Supplies		\$ 9,067.00	\$	2,253.00	\$	(6,814.00)
Other		\$ 9,381.00	\$	2,124.00	\$	(7,257.00)
Transportation		\$ 195,864.00	\$	188,288.00	\$	(7,576.00)
Non-SPED Expenses		\$ (135,456.00)	\$	(375,989.00)	\$	(240,533.00)
		\$ 6,840,924.00	\$	6,122,046.00	\$	(718,878.00)

Accessing the MOE Application

The ESS Portal of ADEConnect

How to Access the MOE Application



MOE Application Homepage



IDEA MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT

EXCEPTIONAL STUDENT SERVICES

Home LEA Activities - Help -

Welcome, ESS LEAGroup ESS! 🔻

Home

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Alerts

- 2/29/2024 4:13 PM Comment Added: The PEA has met methods 2 and 4 using exceptions A and B. Pl...
- 2/29/2024 4:13 PM This notice is to inform you that as of Thursday, February 29, 2024, you ha...
- 7/17/2023 9:22 PM This notice is to inform you that as of Monday, July 17, 2023, you have sat...

The FY2024 MOE Eligibility Testing window will be open from July 18 - August 18, 2023. PEAs that have met the eligibility standard will be closed by the ESS Program Management team to allow processing of the FY2024 IDEA Part B Consolidated Grants.

The MOE Eligibility testing data reflects the State & Local funds reported on your 2024 Adopted Budget and the estimated SPED count from the SPED20 report from AzEDS. These values are compared against the MOE Compliance standards established in the FY23 MOE Compliance test in March 2023. To pass the MOE Eligibility test, only one of the four available methodologies must meet or exceed your comparison year's compliance value, also known as the compliance standard.

If your PEA has failed the MOE Eligibility test, please review your test results by clicking on LEA Activities -->Eligibility. Then, choose No for Local Only funds and confirm your choice.

PEAs that have failed both method 2 and method 4 may resolve the MOE Eligibility case by:

- · Submitting allowable exceptions through the MOE Application, or
- Submitting a revised budget through the ADE Budget

If you plan to submit a revised budget, you must e-mail ESS Program Management with the file reference number of the revised budget once the School Finance Budget Team has successfully processed it. The deadline to submit a revised adopted budget to School Finance or enter exceptions/reductions to the ESS MOE application is August 18, 2023.

If you plan to submit allowable exceptions, please review the FAQ document in the Help menu for details on the six allowable exceptions. You will need to enter that into the system and upload supporting documentation. Once you are ready to submit your MOE Eligibility data, please navigate to the Submission Summary page. Enter a comment in the LEA comment box, acknowledge the statement to verify your submission, and press Submit. This year, narratives from SPED Directors are required for any exceptions from A, C, D, or E.

Failure to meet the MOE Eligibility standard will affect your substantial approval of the IDEA grant and your PEA's ability to draw down funds for FY24.

Please e-mail ESS Program Management if you have any questions about your MOE Eligibility test.

Review training materials from the March 1, 2023, webinar below.

- March 1 IDEA Maintenance of Effort
 - Powerpoint Presentation
 - Budget Planning Tool
 - Zoom Recording Link

Contact Information

For questions related to MOE data entry, please contact ESS Program Management via e-mail at essprogmgmt@azed.gov.

Pay attention to Alerts.

Today's webinar materials will be loaded to the bottom of the screen.

Alerts

Alert

Your status has changed. Here are the details:

Date

2/29/2024

Submission Status Change

Submitted to Closed

MOE Status

Met with Exceptions

Reason

Closed

Additional Comments

This notice is to inform you that as of Thursday, February 29, 2024, you have satisfied the current fiscal year's maintenance of effort (MOE) compliance requirements for IDEA funding. The Arizona Department of Education, ESS Maintenance of Effort Program, now considers this matter CLOSED. If you have questions about this process, please direct your inquiry to (602) 542-3398.

Review your MOE case.

If you have any questions, please contact ESS Program Management via e-mail at essprogmgmt@azed.gov.



Back

Alert

A comment was added.

Date

2/29/2024

MOE Status

Met with Exceptions

Additional Comments

The PEA has met methods 2 and 4 using exceptions A and B. Please note your compliance thresholds and comparison years.

Method 2: 2023 AFR, \$ 642,651.00 Method 4: 2023 per capita, \$ 5,994.32

Please note these numbers, as you must refer to them as you prepare the FY25 Adopted Budget for School Finance.

Thanks for completing your MOE Compliance test.

Review your MOE case.

If you have any questions, please contact ESS Program Management via e-mail at essprogmgmt@azed.gov.

Delete Back

Access the Compliance Test



Use the LEA Activities dropdown menu and choose Compliance.

MOE Use Case #1:

No local only funds, pass both methods

No Local Only Funds

Include Local Only?

Pending

Local only funds may not include funds derived from state aid or other federal sources. Typically, the only funds that would be applied here are school districts that have levied a tax against residents or public education agencies that have received donations or other non-governmental revenue. If the PEA does not generate local only revenue, please select "No" and move on to the next section of the MOE application.

In order to accurately test for Maintenance of Effort (MOE), the public education agency must indicate whether they wish to identify local special education expenditures out of the total amount that is extracted from an annual financial report. If the user chooses "yes," he/she will be required to upload documentation that reflects the amount of local special education expenditures. Any uploaded documentation will be reviewed by an ESS fiscal specialist. If local expenditures are submitted and approved then MOE testing will be processed using all four methodologies, otherwise only two methodologies will be capitalized.

§300.203 - Maintenance of effort.

(i) Local funds only;
(ii) The combination of State and local funds;
(iii) Local funds only on a per capita basis; or
(iv) The combination of State and local funds on a per capita basis.



essmoeuataps.azurewebsites.net says By selecting No, you agree to waive your ability to enter Local Only values for MOE Compliance. Continue?
OK Cancel

If the PEA has no local only funds to report, click no and then confirm your choice on the dialog box.

Methods 2 and 4: Met; Pass MOE Overall

Compliance Test Results

Pending

In order to meet the MOE compliance requirement in this fiscal year the district/charter must expend, at least the same total or per capita amount, of local funds or state and local funds, as it spent during the most recent year for which information is available.

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2022 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2022 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023 Amount		N/A	\$19,690,750.00	N/A	\$9,547.70
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$17,192,860.00	N/A	\$8,231.08
Difference		N/A	\$2,497,890.00	N/A	\$1,316.62
2023 SPED Count				N/A	× 2,062.3552
Total Difference		N/A	\$2,497,890.00	N/A	\$2,715,345.99
Status	Met	N/A	Met	N/A	Met
					Back Continue

If both methods are Met, the PEA only needs to click Continue to access the Submission Summary page. No exceptions or reductions need to be reviewed, but it is recommended to have the PEA review Method 4 calculation and SPED Count.

Method 4: State and local per capita Calculation

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4

Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amounts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023	\$19,690,750.00	2062.3552	\$9,547.70
2022	\$17,192,860.00	2088.7741	\$8,231.08
Difference			\$1,316.62
Status			Met
	Difference must be \$0.00 or grea	ter to have met this MOE Test	

Back

Pending

The per capita test is used to compare local only (method 3) or state and local (method 4) values of expenditure divided by the SPED Count from the SPED20 report from ADE School Finance. If the number of students in the testing year (2023) appears different than your local records, <u>e-mail ESS Program Management</u> immediately. Click back to get to the previous screen.

Submit: both methods have met MOE Compliance standard

Submission Summary	Pending
Supporting Documentation	
Supporting Documentation must be uploaded if you are submitting data for Exception A, Exception C, Exception D, and/or Exception E.	
Upload Documents	
Comments	
LEA Comments	
The district has reviewed expenditures and student count. We have passed both methods.	
	Q (c)
Acknowledgement	
By checking this box you certify that, to the best of your knowledge, this information is truthful and accurate. Your certification is considered an electronic record with legal e enforceability as defined in S. 2107 of the Government Paperwork Elimination Act.	ffect, validity, and
Submit Cancel	Back

The PEA met both method 2 (overall expenditure) and method 4 (per capita expenditure).

The PEA does not have to submit any supporting documents.

The PEA must include a comment and check the acknowledgment box to submit.

Successful submission: both methods pass

Submission Summary	
	Submitted
Message: Submission was successful.	×

The PEA MOE test will be reviewed by the ESS MOE Specialist.

The PEA will receive an automated e-mail informing them when the MOE Specialist has closed the case.

The PEA should share 2023 AFR values with the Business Office to ensure these are used for upcoming MOE Eligibility (FY25 Budget) and MOE Compliance (FY24 AFR) tests.

Use the new compliance thresholds on the **Budget Planning Tool**.

MOE Use case #2:

Submitting local only funds

Supporting Documentation Required for Local Only Funds

Local Only Amounts						Pending
Enter the local only values.						T chung
Fiscal Year	Local Only Amount			State	e and Local Amount	
2022	4000000				\$11,659,945.00	
Please upload supporting documentation for your local only	expenditure values. Any existing files will be overwrit	tten.				
Upload Documents						
Attachments:						
Document Name	Uploaded On	•	*			
Non State Aid LEAs.xlsx	2/27/2023 5:57:17 PM	Delete				
Showing 1 to 1 of 1 entries						
					Back	Continue

The local only amount should not equal the state and local amount. The PEA will be required to upload documents to show that the local only amount entered on this screen is found within PEA Accounting Reports.

MOE Compliance Test Results: Methods 1-4

Compliance Test Results

Pending

In order to meet the MOE compliance requirement in this fiscal year the district/charter must expend, at least the same total or per capita amount, of local funds or state and local funds, as it spent during the most recent year for which information is available.

	Overall	Method 1 2021 Local Cost	Method 2 2018 State and Local Cost	Method 3 2021 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2018 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022 Amount		\$4,000,000.00	\$11,659,945.00	\$3,923.04	\$11,435.62
Comparison Year Amount		\$3,116,368.00	\$14,602,024.00	\$3,063.53	\$12,203.79
Difference		\$883,632.00	(\$2,942,079.00)	\$859.51	(\$768.18)
2022 SPED Count				x 1,019.6165	x 1,019.6165
Total Difference		\$883,632.00	(\$2,942,079.00)	\$876,374.19	(\$783,244.90)
Status	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met
					Back Continue

The PEA, in this example, has established new compliance standards because local only funds exceeded the comparison year amounts from 2021 local only funds data. Method 2 and 4 are failing, so the PEA is allowed to submit data for allowable exceptions/reductions.

Allowable Exceptions/reductions 34 CFR § 300.204

Allowable Exceptions/Reductions

Pending

SEC. 300.204 Exception to maintenance of effort.

Notwithstanding the restriction in Sec. 300.203(a), an LEA may reduce the level of expenditures by the LEA under Part B of the Act below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year if the reduction is attributable to any of the following:

- (a) The departure, by retirement or otherwise, or departure for just cause, of special education or related services personnel.
- (b) A decrease in the enrollment of children with disabilities.
- (c) The termination of the obligation of the agency, consistent with this part, to provide a program of special education to a particular child with a disability that is an exceptionally costly program, as determined by the SEA, because the child
 - (1) Has left the jurisdiction of the agency;
 - (2) Has reached the age at which the obligation of the agency to provide FAPE to the child has terminated;
 - (3) No longer needs the program of special education.
- (d) The termination of costly expenditures for long-term purchases, such as the acquisition of equipment or the construction of school facilities.
- (e) The assumption of cost by the high cost fund operated by the SEA under Sec. 300.704(c).

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1413(a)(2)(B))

SEC. 300.205 Adjustment to local fiscal efforts in certain fiscal years

(f) Amounts in excess. Notwithstanding Sec. 300.202(a)(2) and (b) and Sec. 300.203(a), and except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section and Sec. 300.230(e)(2), for any fiscal year for which the allocation received by an LEA under Sec. 300.705 exceeds the amount the LEA received for the previous fiscal year, the LEA may reduce the level of expenditures otherwise required by Sec. 300.203(a) by not more than 50 percent of the amount of that excess.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1413(a)(2)(C))

Back Continue Submission Summary

Submission Summary for Local Only Funds

Submission Summary			Pending	
Local Only				
Fiscal Year	Local Only Amount	State and Local Amount		
2022	2022 \$4,000,000.00 \$11,659,945.0			
Attachments:				
Do	Document Name Uploaded On			
Non S	2/27/2023 5:57:17 PM			
Comments LEA Comments The district will pass MOE methods 1 and 3 using loca	6	The PEA will su no exceptions.		
LEA Comment History Show Acknowledgement	The PEA must comment and box to acknow			
By checking this box you certify that, to the best of enforceability as defined in S. 2107 of the Governm	f your knowledge, this information is truthful and accurate. Your certifica nent Paperwork Elimination Act.	tion is considered an electronic record with legal effect, validity, and	accurate data.	

Ibmit with

submit a click the ledge accurate uata.

Back

Submit

Cancel

MOE Use Case #3:

Submitting MOE Exceptions

MOE Compliance Test Results: No Local Only Funds

Compliance Test Results

Pending

In order to meet the MOE compliance requirement in this fiscal year the district/charter must expend, at least the same total or per capita amount, of local funds or state and local funds, as it spent during the most recent year for which information is available.

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2021 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2022 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023 Amount		N/A	\$787,080.00	N/A	\$7,633.40
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$1,161,065.00	N/A	\$14,822.14
Difference		N/A	(\$373,985.00)	N/A	(\$7,188.73)
2023 SPED Count				N/A	x 103.1100
Total Difference		N/A	(\$373,985.00)	N/A	(\$741,230.42)
Status	Not Met	N/A	Not Met	N/A	Not Met

Amount Owed: \$267,006.11 Repayments: \$0.00 Balance Owed: \$267,006.11

Continuo

Pack

Click on the hyperlink under Method 2 or 4 to view test results in detail.

Method 4 Values

ESS MOE Specialists recommend that all PEAs look at Method 4 calculation. If the testing year SPED count is less than the comparison year SPED count, one of the exceptions may be automatically applicable to your PEA.

Click Back to return to the previous screen and then Continue to see the Allowable Exceptions page.

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4			Pending
Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Ar	nounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amount	ts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is	•
Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023	\$642,651.00	107.2100	\$5,994.32
2022	\$1,667,722.00	187.8571	\$8,877.61
Difference			(\$2,883.29)
Status			Not Met
ADE/ESS review of AFR Data

	-)									
	Method 2	202	1	2023	Differen	e		Method 4		2014	L	2023	Di	fference
Salaries		\$ 42,834.00		32,053.00		(10,781.00)					\$	32,053.00	\$	
Benefits		\$ 3,142.00		2,452.00		(690.00)					\$	2,452.00	\$	
Purchased Services		\$ 1,413.00	\$	1,654.00	\$	241.00					\$	1,654.00	\$	1,654.00
Supplies		\$ 1,531.00	\$	-	\$	(1,531.00)					\$	-	\$	-
Other		\$-	\$	133.00	\$	133.00					\$	133.00	\$	133.00
Transportation					\$	-							\$	-
Non-SPED Expenses					\$	-							\$	-
		\$ 48,920.00	\$	36,292.00	\$	(12,628.00)			\$	41,557.00	\$	36,292.00	\$	(5,265.00)
Exceptions														
IDEA Basic	\$ 34,956.40													
IDEA Preschool	\$ 54,550.40						SPED Count			12.58		25.0428		
ARP IDEA Basic	\$ - \$						SPED COUIL			12.50	·	25.0420		
ARP IDEA Preschool	\$ -								Ś	3,303.42	ć	1,449.20	Ś	(1,854.22)
Total received in 2023	\$ 34,956.40								Ş	5,505.42	Ş	1,449.20	s Ś	
Total received in 2025	\$ 54,550.40	Overall Test	Not Met										ç	(40,454.84)
		MOE Failure:	Ś	12,628.00					SPED20 FY22		SPED20	0 5722	C+	udent decrease
		ARP and IDEA	Ś	34,956.40					3PED20 F122	19.95		25.0428	31	5.0928
		ARP and IDEA	Ş	34,950.40	Method 2		Not Met			19.95	•	25.0428		5.0928
		Delesso Dec	<u>^</u>	40.000.00						.	D	h h a a h		
		Balance Due	\$	12,628.00	iviethod 4	ŧ	Not Met		SPED student	Decrease	Doesn'	t Apply		
					Overall T	est	Not Met			5.0928	Ś	3,303.42	Ś	16,823.65

PEAs can request a meeting with the ESS MOE Specialist to review data and determine which exceptions may apply. Contact <u>ESS Program Management</u> or <u>schedule your appointment</u>.

Allowable Exceptions/reductions 34 CFR § 300.204 (repeated)

Allowable Exceptions/Reductions

Pending

SEC. 300.204 Exception to maintenance of effort.

Notwithstanding the restriction in Sec. 300.203(a), an LEA may reduce the level of expenditures by the LEA under Part B of the Act below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year if the reduction is attributable to any of the following:

- (a) The departure, by retirement or otherwise, or departure for just cause, of special education or related services personnel.
- (b) A decrease in the enrollment of children with disabilities.
- (c) The termination of the obligation of the agency, consistent with this part, to provide a program of special education to a particular child with a disability that is an exceptionally costly program, as determined by the SEA, because the child
 - (1) Has left the jurisdiction of the agency;
 - (2) Has reached the age at which the obligation of the agency to provide FAPE to the child has terminated;
 - (3) No longer needs the program of special education.
- (d) The termination of costly expenditures for long-term purchases, such as the acquisition of equipment or the construction of school facilities.
- (e) The assumption of cost by the high cost fund operated by the SEA under Sec. 300.704(c).

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1413(a)(2)(B))

SEC. 300.205 Adjustment to local fiscal efforts in certain fiscal years

(f) Amounts in excess. Notwithstanding Sec. 300.202(a)(2) and (b) and Sec. 300.203(a), and except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section and Sec. 300.230(e)(2), for any fiscal year for which the allocation received by an LEA under Sec. 300.705 exceeds the amount the LEA received for the previous fiscal year, the LEA may reduce the level of expenditures otherwise required by Sec. 300.203(a) by not more than 50 percent of the amount of that excess.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1413(a)(2)(C))

Back Continue Submission Summary

Exception A

Special Education Staff Departures

Exception A: SPED Staff Departure

Voluntary departure, by retirement or otherwise, or departure for just cause of special education or related services personnel <u>34 C.F.R. § 300.204 (a)</u>

(Reduction in force, layoffs, or reorganization are usually not permitted)

ADE doesn't distinguish between PEA employees vs. purchased professional services (contracted vendors)

Retirement	
Teacher A retires in FY22	\$65,000
Teacher B replaced in FY23	\$55,000
Total exception	\$10,000
Contracted	
Contracted Speech Services in FY21	\$100,000
SLP hired in FY23	\$75,000
Total exception	\$25,000

Exception A: SPED Staff Departures

Special Education Staff Departures

A: Allowable Exception - Special Education Staff Departure: The departure, by retirement or otherwise, or departure for just cause, of special education or related services personnel.

If applicable, enter Name, Account Number, and Amount of Salaries and Benefits previously locally/state funded. To add additional lines, click *Add Special Education Staff Departure*. When all personnel have been added or if this exception does not apply, click *Continue*.

Pending

						Search:		
A	Staff Name	*	Salary Expended	*	Benefit Expended	A.	Reason	-
			No data available in table					
							📹 Previous Nex	at 🕨
ancel					Back	Continue	Submission Sur	mmary
				No data available in table	No data available in table	No data available in table	Staff Name Salary Expended Benefit Expended Expended No data available in table No data available in table Image: Comparison of the second sec	Staff Name Salary Expended Benefit Expended Reason No data available in table No data available in table Image: Comparison of the second of th

To enter SPED Staff Departure data, click Add.

Scenario 1: Eliminated FTE Position

Add Special Education Staff Departure

iter the Name, Account Number, and Amount of Salari	es and Benefits previously locally/state funded. When done, click Sa
Staff Departure	
Fiscal Year	
2021 🗸	
First Name	
Candice	
Last Name	
Trainor	
Salary Account	Salary Expended
001.200.6127.1000	55000
Benefit Account	Benefit Expended
001.200.62xx.1000	11550
Reason for Leaving	
Other	~
Reason Comments	
Eliminated self-contained classroom	
Save Back	

If the PEA eliminated a position because a reorganization occurred, the SPED Director would need to provide a narrative as supporting documentation to explain how FAPE was still provided in the testing year (2023).

The departing staff's payroll information should also be submitted as supporting documentation for the last fiscal year the position was supported by state and local funds.

Supporting Documentation is submitted on the submission summary page.

Scenario 2: Contracted vendor to PEA Employee

Staff Departure		Staff Departures Replaceme	ents	
Fiscal Year		1 1		
2021 🗸		Show 10 🗸 entries		
First Name		Staff Nam	e 🔺	
Contracted SLP				
	, ,		Staff Departure Replacement	
Last Name		Showing 0 to 0 of 0 entries	First Name	
Company Name		Add	Jane	
Salary Account	Salary Expended		Last Name	
001.200.63xx.2100	95000.00		Doe	
			Salary Account	Salary Expended
Benefit Account	Benefit Expended		001.200.61xx.2100	60000
			Benefit Account	Benefit Expended
Reason for Leaving			001.200.62xx.2100	12600
Other	~		Replaces	
Reason Comments			Company Name, Contracted SLP	
2021 contract ended and we hired SLP in district fo	ır 2022		Save Back	

In this example, the PEA replaced a contracted SLP (2021) with a PEA employee (2022). The contracted vendor data is entered in SPED staff departure with all applicable fields filled out. The Staff Departure Replacement record is generated to show what the PEA employee earned in 2021. The MOE application calculates the net difference.

Scenario 3: Retirement and Replacement

Staff Departure			
Fiscal Year		Staff Departure Replacement	
2021 🗸		First Name	
First Name		Judy]
George		Last Name	
Last Name		Jetson]
Jetson		Salary Account	Salary Expended
Salary Account	Salary Expended	001.200.6175.1000	52000
001.200.6174.1000	72000	Benefit Account	Benefit Expended
lenefit Account	Benefit Expended	001.200.62xx.1000	10920
001.200.62xx.1000	15120		10320
eason for Leaving		Replaces	
	~	Jetson, George	

If an employee retired in the comparison year or intervening year, and the staff replacement's total compensation was less, the system will calculate the net difference and apply the exception.

Supporting Documentation for Exception A

- Accounting Statements from Comparison and Testing years (must match accounting ledger codes)
- Narratives from SPED Director to explain how FAPE was provided in the testing year if reorganization occurred or positions were eliminated

Exception B

Decrease in SPED Enrollment

Exception B: Decrease in SPED Enrollment



B: Allowable Exception - Decrease in SPED Enrollment: A decrease in the enrollment of children with disabilities.



	FY 2022 SPED 28	-	FY 2023 SPED20	=	SPED Student Decrease	
	187.8571	-	107.2100	=	80.6471	
/	Student Decrease	x	FY 2022 PPE	=	Total Decrease in SPED	
_/	Student Decrease 80.6471	x X	FY 2022 PPE 8877.6096	=	Total Decrease in SPED \$715,953.47	

If applicable, by checking this box, you certify that this reduction reflects an accurate decrease in enrollment of students with disabilities for your local education agency. This acknowledges that you wish to use this reduction as an exception for purposes of Maintenance of Effort testing.

Save	Cancel		Back	Continue	Submission Summary
------	--------	--	------	----------	--------------------

A decrease in the enrollment of children with disabilities <u>34 C.F.R. § 300.204 (b)</u> This is a system-generated exception. If it applies to the PEA, you'll be able to check the box to take the exception. Click Save. If your PEA did not experience a SPED student decrease, click Continue

Exception B: Check the Box, If Available

Decrease in SPED Enrollment

B: Allowable Exception – Decrease in SPED Enrollment: A decrease in the enrollment of children with disabilities.

187.8571	-	107.2100	=	80.6471
Student Decre	ase X	FY 2022 PPE	=	Total Decrease in SPED
80.6471	Х	8877.6096	=	\$715,953.47

Save Cancel Back Continue Submission Summary
--

The PEA in this example can apply \$715,953.47 to the overall exceptions because it had a decrease in students from 2022 to 2023 in the SPED program. We use SPED20 values for student counts to calculate Method 4 per capita value and Exception B amount, if applicable.

Exception C

Termination of Obligation for Students

Exception C: Termination of Obligation for Students

The termination of the obligation of the agency, consistent with this part, to provide a program of special education to a particular child with a disability that is an exceptionally costly program, as determined by the SEA, because the child—

(1) Has left the jurisdiction of the agency;

(2) Has reached the age at which the obligation of the agency to provide FAPE to the child has terminated; or

(3) No longer needs the program of special education.

<u>34 C.F.R. § 300.204 (c)</u>

Termination of Obligations			Pending
C: Allowable Exception - Termination of the obligation of the agency, consistent with this part, to provide a program of special program, as determined by the SEA, because the child:	al education to a particula	r child with a disabilit	
NOTE: Cost per child (for a costly special education program) should be twice the average per pupil amount for the last full ye	ear the student was in the	program.	
The District/Charter is expected to have and maintain the following documents related to this exception, <u>By statute you are re</u> submission, and present it when asked in the event of an audit. Accounting information supporting expenses Student program file Service change in the student's IEP Student's original IEP Student's revised IEP Document (such as meeting minutes) of the IEP team's decision Instructional setting for affected student(s) Dollar amount of terminated services per student Termination of Obligations	equired to retain this docu	umentation for five ye	ears. from the date of exception
Show 10 v entries		Search	h:
FiscalYear 🔺 State Student ID 🔶 Birth Date 🔶	Amount	÷	Reason for Leaving
No data available in table			
Showing 0 to 0 of 0 entries			Previous Next
Add Cancel	Back	Continue	Submission Summary

ADE uses twice the per-pupil expenditure of students with disabilities. For FY24 MOE Compliance, that is just over \$18,000.

Exception C: Blank Template

Add Termination of an Obligation		
Fiscal Year		
Please choose one 🗸		
State Student ID Verify		
Name		
Birth Date		
Account	Amount	
Reason for Leaving		
Please choose one		~
Description		
Save Back		

 Choose from the drop-down menu the fiscal year for the last year the student's costs were paid by state & local funds.

Enter the SSID number for the student and click verify to auto-populate the student's data from AzEDS.

Enter the account number from which the expenses were paid and the amount for the expenses in the comparison year or intervening year.

Provide a reason for leaving and a short description.

Supporting documentation must be supplied to use Exception C.

Exception C: Descriptions are Required

Termination of an Obligation		Pending
Message: Student s valid.		×
Fiscal Year 2020 State Student ID Verify Name Birth Date Account Account Amount		 The PEA will provide the SSID served in the comparison year but not paid for in the testing (Ex. The student graduated in were not needed in 2021.) Once the verify button is checked and the student is provide the student is checked.
001.6520.1000.978	27000	application will provide the stu birth date.
Reason for Leaving Has left the jurisdiction of the agency Description The student graduated in 2020 and services were no longer needed in 2021		 The PEA will provide the accor amount expended for this sture reason for leaving (<i>left the PE</i>)
Save Back		

- of a student that was r (or intervening year), year. 2020 and services
- ked, the MOE udent's name and
- unt number and dent as well as the A, aged out of SPED, or was exited from SPED) and a description of the student's costs (tuition to out-of-district placement or other costs not reported in Exception A).
- Supporting documentation must be supplied to use Exception C.

Supporting Documentation for Exception C

- Accounting Statements from Comparison and Testing years (must match accounting ledger codes)
- Narratives from SPED Director to explain the specific decreases in costs for the termination of student obligations

Exception D

Termination of costly long-term purchases

Exception D: Termination of Costly Long-Term Purchase

The termination of costly expenditures for long-term purchases, such as the acquisition of equipment or the construction of school facilities.

34 C.F.R. § 300.204 (d)

Termination of Cos	stly Long-Term Purc	hases				
Termination of Cos	suy Long-Term Furc	nases				Pendin
D: Allowable Exception – To facilities.	ermination of Costly Long-T	erm Purchases: The terminat	ion of costly expenditures for long	-term purchases, such as the a	equisition of equipment or the	construction of school
Long-term means the item	n must have an anticipated l	life span of more than 12 mo	nths. To qualify for this exception,	equipment must have a per-un	nit cost of \$5,000 or more.	
If applicable, enter the cap	ital expenditure that was in	curred during the fiscal perio	od using state/local funds.			
Supporting Documentatio audit.	n related to this exception r	nust be retained for a period	of five years, from the date of exce	eption submission. Documenta	tion must be available and pre	esented in the event of a
Termination of Costly P	urchases					
Show 10 🗸 entries					Search:	
	FiscalYear		Description	A T	Cost	Å
			No data available in table			
Showing 0 to 0 of 0 entr	ries					🜒 Previous Next 🕨
Add Termi	nation of Co	stly Long-Te	rm Purchase			
ridd fermi		Suy Long Te	ini i di chase			
Fiscal Year						
		1				
Please choo	se one 💙					
Account				Cost		
Description						
How funds wer	re used					
Save	В	ack				

Exception D: Blank Template

Add Termination of Costly Long-Term	Purchase
Fiscal Year	
Please choose one 🗸	
Account	Cost
Description	
How funds were used	
Save Back	

The PEA must provide information about the costly long-term purchase and upload supporting documentation on the submission summary page.

Supporting Documentation for Exception D

- Accounting Statements from Comparison and Testing years (must match accounting ledger codes)
- Narratives from SPED Director to explain the specific decreases in costs for the termination of costly long-term purchase

Exception E

High-Cost Child Claims

Exception E: The assumption of Cost by the high-cost fund operated by the SEA

The Assumption of Cost by the High Cost Fund Operated by the SEA							
Pending							
E: Allowable Exception – The assumption of cost by the high cost fund operated by the SEA under 300.704(c).							
Students who have been deemed eligible as part of the IDEA High Cost Grant can be listed below as a valid exception for reducing the obligation a public education agency must meet for Maintenance of Effort. Any students submitted into this field will be validated against information submitted into AzEDS and the funding application in Grants Management.							
Assumption of Cost							
Show 10 v entries				Search:			
FiscalYear A	State Student ID	Birth Date	*	Amount 🍦			
No data available in table							
Showing 0 to 0 of 0 entries				┥ Previous Next 🕨			

• The assumption of cost by the high-cost fund operated by the SEA under 34 C.F.R. §300.704(c).

34 C.F.R. § 300.204 (e)

• This exception is only applicable if the PEA was approved for High-Cost Child claims in 2023 and those funds were paid out prior submitting the 2023 AFR.

Exception E: Student Data

The Assumption of Cost by the High Cost Fund Operated by the SEA

Message: Validation errors occurred.	
Fiscal Year	
State Student ID	
Birth Date	
Account	Amount
001.200.6500.1000	37500

Student's tuition, transportation, and related services at an approved private day school

Choose fiscal year 2022, even though the costs were reduced in fiscal year 2023. The ESS IT developers are working on fixing this bug in the application. The PEA must provide the SSID and birth date of the student(s) awarded High-Cost Claims in FY23.

<u>E-mail the ESS Program Management</u> <u>team</u> for assistance in printing reports of claim amounts.

The PEA must describe the costs that would have normally been paid from state and local, or local only funds, that were moved to the HCC fund.

Supporting Documentation for Exception E

- Accounting Statements from Comparison and Testing years (must match accounting ledger codes)
- Evidence that the PEA was awarded an HCC claim in FY23 from the IDEA set-aside funds, not ESEN state funds

Exception F

Allowable adjustment to local fiscal efforts

Exception F: Allowable Adjustment to Local Fiscal Efforts

Allowable Adjustment to Local Fiscal Efforts

F: Allowable Reduction - Allowable Adjustment to Local Fiscal Efforts. SEC. 300.205 Adjustment to local fiscal efforts in certain fiscal years

Amounts are pre-populated, if applicable, insert the amount. You may use all or a portion of the amount available.

(a) Amounts in excess. Notwithstanding § 300.202(a)(2) and (b) and § 300.203(a), and except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section and § 300.230(e)(2), for any fiscal year for which the allocation received by an LEA under § 300.705 exceeds the amount the LEA received for the previous fiscal year, the LEA may reduce the level of expenditures otherwise required by § 300.203(a) by not more than 50 percent of the amount of that excess.

Pending

(b) Use of amounts to carry out activities under ESEA. If an LEA exercises the authority under paragraph (a) of this section, the LEA must use an amount of local funds equal to the reduction in expenditures under paragraph (a) of this section to carry out activities that could be supported with funds under the ESEA regardless of whether the LEA is using funds under the ESEA for those activities.

(c) State prohibition. Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this section, if an SEA determines that an LEA is unable to establish and maintain programs of FAPE that meet the requirements of section 613(a) of the Act and this part or the SEA has taken action against the LEA under section 616 of the Act and subpart F of these regulations, the SEA must prohibit the LEA from reducing the level of expenditures under paragraph (a) of this section for that fiscal year.

(d) Special rule. The amount of funds expended by an LEA for early intervening services under § 300.226 shall count toward the maximum amount of expenditures that the LEA may reduce under paragraph (a) of this section.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1820-0600) (Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1413(a)(2)(C))

Enter all eligible expenditures during the period that would qualify under these conditions. The expenditures must meet the amount that is required for reporting. Click on the Continue button when all fields have been entered.

Reduction is not applicable for test year because the amount available for reduction is zero or negative.

ESS has disabled the allowable adjustment to local fiscal efforts (Exception F) for the FY2024 MOE Compliance test.

Submission Summary

bmission Summary	Pending		
xceptions/Reductions			
Description	Exception/Reduction Amount		
A. Special Education Staff Departures	\$113,150.00		
B. Decrease in SPED Enrollment	\$7,201.89		
C. Termination of Obligations	\$0.00		
D. Termination of Costly Long-Term Purchases	\$0.00		
E. The Assumption of Cost by the High Cost Fund Operated by the SEA	\$0.00		
F. Allowable Adjustment to Local Fiscal Efforts	\$0.00		
Total Exceptions/Reductions	\$120,351.89		

Supporting Documentation						
Supporting Documentation must be uploaded if you are submitting data for Exception A, Exception C, Exception D, and/or Exception E.						
Upload Documents	Upload Documents					
Attachments:						
Selected?	Selected? Document Name Uploaded On					
	Salary.txt	2/27/2023 9:03:03 PM	Delete			
Download Selected File(s)						

Submission Summary (Continued)

Comments	
LEA Comments	
Salary information was updated to support SPED Staff Departures	lo
Acknowledgement	ffect, validity, and
Submit Cancel	Back

The PEA must add a comment and check the acknowledgment box before submitting the MOE Compliance test data.

Next Steps Submission Summary Submission was successful.

The PEA will see a green banner if the submission summary is successful.

The MOE Compliance case will be reviewed by an ESS MOE specialist.

MOE Use Case #4:

Pass Method 4, per Capita test

PEA Only met Method 4

IDEA Fund Compliance Test Fiscal Year: 2023 Entity ID: LEA (CTDS): Status: Pending

Exception Year: 2022

Calculate with allowed exceptions/reductions?

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2021 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2021 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022 Amount		N/A	\$7,938,537.00	N/A	\$12,685.41
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$8,134,909.00	N/A	\$12,337.57
Difference		N/A	(\$196,372.00)	N/A	\$347.84
2022 SPED Count				N/A	x 625.8008
Total Difference		N/A	(\$196,372.00)	N/A	\$217,677.97
Status	Met	N/A	Not Met	N/A	Met

The overall test is met in this example because the PEA has passed the Method 4 test. If the PEA submitted test results at this point, the Method 2 value would remain the 2021 AFR value of \$8,134,909.00, and the new Method 4 value would be \$12,685.41 from the 2022 AFR.

Exception B may apply

Decrease in SPED Enrollment

Pending

B: Allowable Exception - Decrease in SPED Enrollment: A decrease in the enrollment of children with disabilities.

FY 2021 SPED 28	-	FY 2022 SPED20	=	SPED Student Decrease
659.3609	-	625.8008	=	33.5601
Student Decrease	×	FY 2021 PPE	=	Total Decrease in SPED
33.5601	х	12337.5666	=	\$414,049.97

If applicable, by checking this box, you certify that this reduction reflects an accurate decrease in enrollment of students with disabilities for your local education agency. This acknowledges that you wish to use this reduction as an exception for purposes of Maintenance of Effort testing.

Save Cancel Back Continue Submission Summa
--

The PEA can use Exception B – Decrease in SPED Enrollment to decrease the comparison year data for methods 2 and 4 by \$414,049.97.

Test Results with exceptions Applied



	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2021 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2021 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022 Amount		N/A	\$7,938,537.00	N/A	\$12,685.41
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$7,720,859.03	N/A	\$11,709.61
Difference		N/A	\$217,677.97	N/A	\$975.80
2022 SPED Count				N/A	x 625.8008
Total Difference		N/A	\$217,677.97	N/A	\$610,653.65
Status	Met	N/A	Met with Exceptions	N/A	Met

The exceptions are deducted from the comparison year amount. The PEA locks in a new compliance threshold that is \$196,372 lower than the 2021 AFR amount.

Method 2 Exception Applied

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 2

Fiscal Year: 2023 Entity ID: LEA (CTDS): Status: Closed (Met) Exception Year: 2022

Method 2: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Compared to Actual Expenditures for the Last Year Compliance Method 2 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	
2022	\$7,938,537.00	
2021	\$8,134,909.00	
Difference	(\$196,372.00)	
2022 Exceptions	\$414,049.97	
Adjusted Difference	\$217,677.97	
Status	Met with Exceptions	

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

Another way to think of exceptions is that the value is added to the original Method 2 calculation.

As the adjusted difference is greater than \$0.00, the PEA has passed the Method 2 test with Exceptions.

Back

Method 4 Exception applied

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4
Fiscal Year: 2023
Entity ID:
LEA (CTDS):
Status: Closed (Met)
Exception Year: 2022

Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amounts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022	\$7,938,537.00	625.8008	\$12,685.41
2021	\$8,134,909.00	659.3609	\$12,337.57
Difference			\$347.84
2022 Exceptions	\$414,049.97	7 / 659.3609 =	\$627.96
Adjusted Difference			\$975.80
Status			Met

As the original Method 4 calculation was greater than \$0.00, the Method 4 test passes with a status of Met.

The PEA would have passed method 4 whether or not exceptions were applied.
MOE Use Case #5:

Pass Method 2, aggregate Test

Pea Only met Method 2



Calculate with allowed exceptions/reductions?

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2021 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2021 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022 Amount		N/A	\$178,461.00	N/A	\$13,489.12
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$151,860.00	N/A	\$14,126.51
Difference		N/A	\$26,601.00	N/A	(\$637.40)
2022 SPED Count				N/A	x 13.2300
Total Difference		N/A	\$26,601.00	N/A	(\$8,432.75)
Status	Met	N/A	Met	N/A	Not Met

The overall test is met in this example because the PEA has passed the Method 2 test. If the PEA submitted test results at this point, the Method 4 value would remain the 2021 AFR value of \$14,126.51 per pupil, and the new Method 2 value would be \$178,461 for aggregate spending.

Exception B Does not apply

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4

Fiscal Year: 2023
Entity ID: 92865
LEA (CTDS): BASIS Charter Schools, Inc. (07-82-73-000)
Status: Pending
Exception Year: 2022

Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amounts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022	\$178,461.00	13.2300	\$13,489.12
2021	\$151,860.00	10.7500	\$14,126.51
Difference			(\$637.40)
Status			Not Met

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

Back

Exception B doesn't apply in this scenario because the SPED20 count has increased to 13.23 students served since the comparison year 2021 value of 10.75 students.

The PEA must submit additional exceptions to establish \$13,489.10 as the new Method 4 Compliance threshold.

MOE Use Case #6:

2023 AFR value doesn't show any SPED Expenditures

\$0 reported on AFR

IDEA Fund Compliance Test



Calcul

1						B. M&O SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS BY TYPE			
Year: 2023						(A.R.S. § 15-761)	PROGRAM	PROGRAM	1
ID:							200 & 300	200 & 300	
TDS):							BUDGET	ACTUAL	
: Pending		-				1. Total All Disability Classifications	2,803,332		1.
tion Year: 2022						2. Gifted Education	0		2.
						3. Remedial Education	0		3.
lculate with allowed exceptions/redu	uctions?					4. ELL Incremental Costs	0		4.
						5. ELL Compensatory Instruction	0		5.
	Overall	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Method 4	6. Vocational and Technological Education (non-CTED)	0		6.
		Local Cost	2020 State and Local Cost	Local Per Capita Cost	2021 State and Local Per Capita Cost	7. Career Education	0		7.

						7. Gareer Education	· ·	· · ·
2022 Amount		N/A	\$0.00	N/A	\$0.00	8. Career Technical Education (CTED programs in 300 range)	0	8.
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$2,996,347.00	N/A	\$11,991.33	9. Total (lines 1-8)	2,803,332	0 9.
Difference		N/A	(\$2,996,347.00)	N/A	(\$11,991.33)	10. IEP required pupil transportation costs		10
2022 SPED Count				N/A	x 181.3227	coded within Program 400	0	10.
Total Difference		N/A	(\$2,996,347.00)	N/A	(\$2,174,300.89)	1		
Status	Not Met	N/A	Not Met	N/A	Not Met			

Amount Owed: \$449.031.05 Repayments: \$0.00 Balance Owed: \$449.031.05

> The PEA must verify the 2023 AFR has been submitted and processed by School Finance. Verify there are values entered on page 7 (charters) or page 8 (districts) for All Disability Classifications and IEP-required transportation. A revision and resubmission of the AFR are required.



MOE Use Case #7:

PEA fails all methods, no exceptions can be applied

MOE failure for methods 2 and 4

IDEA Fund Compliance Test

Fiscal Year: 2023 Entity ID: LEA (CTDS): Status: Pending Exception Year: 2022

Calculate with allowed exceptions/reductions?

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2021 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2019 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022 Amount		N/A	\$105,178.00	N/A	\$2,915.95
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$208,204.00	N/A	\$6,982.00
Difference		N/A	(\$103,026.00)	N/A	(\$4,066.05)
2022 SPED Count				N/A	× 36.0699
Total Difference		N/A	(\$103,026.00)	N/A	(\$146,662.10)
Status	Not Met	N/A	Not Met	N/A	Not Met
Amount Owed: \$67,547.87 Repayments: \$0.00 Balance Owed: \$67,547.87					o meet MOE Compliance on all tests. It will close the
		_			ify that, to the best of your knowledge, this informat 2107 of the Government Paperwork Elimination Act

Closed Pending Repayment

IDEA Fund Compliance Test

Fiscal Year: 2023 Entity ID: LEA (CTDS): Status: Closed Pending Repayment Excention Year: 2022

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2021 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2019 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2022 Amount		N/A	\$105,178.00	N/A	\$2,915.95
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$208,204.00	N/A	\$6,982.00
Difference		N/A	(\$103,026.00)	N/A	(\$4,066.05)
2022 SPED Count				N/A	× 36.0699
Total Difference		N/A	(\$103,026.00)	N/A	(\$146,662.10)
Status	Not Met	N/A	Not Met	N/A	Not Met

Amount Owed: \$67,547.87 Repayments: \$0.00 Balance Owed: \$67,547.87 At the conclusion of the MOE Compliance test, ADE/ESS will issue letters with instructions on how to submit payments for PEAs that closed the case and failed all testing methods.

PEAs will use the comparison year amounts for future MOE Eligibility and MOE Compliance values. In this example, the PEA must return to 2021 aggregate spending levels for method 2 and 2019 per capita for method 4.

How Do Exceptions Get Applied in the MOE Test?

Compliance Summary of Exceptions/Reductions Applied



Exceptions/Reductions								
Description	Exception/Reduction Amount	Total Applied Amount	Method 2 Applied (2022)	Method 4 Applied (2022)				
A. Special Education Staff Departures	\$425,000.00	\$425,000.00	\$425,000.00	\$425,000.00				
B. Decrease in SPED Enrollment	\$715,953.47	\$715,953.47	\$715,953.47	\$715,953.47				
C. Termination of Obligations	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
D. Termination of Costly Long-Term Purchases	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
E. The Assumption of Cost by the High Cost Fund Operated by the SEA	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
F. Allowable Adjustment to Local Fiscal Efforts	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
Total Exceptions/Reductions	\$1,140,953.47	\$1,140,953.47	\$1,140,953.47	\$1,140,953.47				

Example: Met with Exceptions

IDEA Fund Compliance Test

Fiscal Year: 2024

Entity ID:

LEA (CTDS):

Status: Closed (Met with Exceptions)

Exception Year: 2023

	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2022 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2022 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023 Amount		N/A	\$642,651.00	N/A	\$5,994.32
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$526,768.53	N/A	\$2,804.09
Difference		N/A	\$115,882.47	N/A	\$3,190.23
2023 SPED Count				N/A	x 107.2100
Total Difference		N/A	\$115,882.47	N/A	\$342,024.33
Status	Met with Exceptions	N/A	Met with Exceptions	N/A	Met with Exceptions

Method 2: Exceptions Applied

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 2



Method 2: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Compared to Actual Expenditures for the Last Year Compliance Method 2 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost
2023	\$642,651.00
2022	\$1,667,722.00
Difference	(\$1,025,071.00)
2023 Exceptions	\$1,140,953.47
Adjusted Difference	\$115,882.47
Status	Met with Exceptions

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

Method 4: Exceptions applied

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4



Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amounts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2023	\$642,651.00	107.2100	\$5,994.32
2022	\$1,667,722.00	187.8571	\$8,877.61
Difference			(\$2,883.29)
2023 Exceptions	\$1,140,953.47 / 18	7.8571 =	\$6,073.52
Adjusted Difference			\$3,190.23
Status			Met with Exceptions

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

Example of Exceptions Applied and MOE Failure

Compliance Summary of Exceptions/Reductions Applied



Exceptions/Reductions								
Description	Exception/Reduction Amount	Total Applied Amount	Method 2 Applied (2020)	Method 4 Applied (2019)				
A. Special Education Staff Departures	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
B. Decrease in SPED Enrollment	\$2,221.86	\$2,221.86	\$2,221.86	\$2,221.86				
C. Termination of Obligations	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
D. Termination of Costly Long-Term Purchases	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
E. The Assumption of Cost by the High Cost Fund Operated by the SEA	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
F. Allowable Adjustment to Local Fiscal Efforts	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
Total Exceptions/Reductions	\$2,221.86	\$2,221.86	\$2,221.86	\$2,221.86				

Method 2 Adjusted Amount Due

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 2



Method 2: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Compared to Actual Expenditures for the Last Year Compliance Method 2 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost
2021	\$61,595.00
2020	\$67,703.00
Difference	(\$6,108.00)
2021 Exceptions	\$2,221.86
Adjusted Difference	(\$3,886.14)
Status	Not Met

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

Method 4 Adjusted Amount Due

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4



Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amounts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is available.

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2021	\$61,595.00	16.5953	\$3,711.59
2019	\$50,904.00	12.7015	\$4,007.72
Difference			(\$296.12)
2021 Exceptions	\$2	2,221.86 / 12.7015	\$174.93
Adjusted Difference			(\$121.19)
Status			Not Met

MOE Failure and Amount Owed

IDEA Fund Compliance Test



	Overall	Method 1 Local Cost	Method 2 2020 State and Local Cost	Method 3 Local Per Capita Cost	Method 4 2019 State and Local Per Capita Cost
2021 Amount		N/A	\$61,595.00	N/A	\$3,711.59
Comparison Year Amount		N/A	\$65,481.14	N/A	\$3,832.79
Difference		N/A	(\$3,886.14)	N/A	(\$121.19)
2021 SPED Count				N/A	x 16.5953
Total Difference		N/A	(\$3,886.14)	N/A	(\$2,011.25)
Status	Not Met	N/A	Not Met	N/A	Not Met

Amount Owed: \$2,011.25

Repayments: \$2,011.25

Balance Owed: \$0.00

Establishing new MOE Compliance Standards

If the Method Passes

The MOE Compliance standard changes to the value of the testing year data

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 2

Fiscal Year: 2024	
Entity ID:	
LEA (CTDS):	
Status: Closed (Met)	
Exception Year: 2023	

Method 2: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Compared to Actual Expenditures for the Last Year Compliance Method 2 is available

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost
2023	\$397,528.00
2022	\$397,017.00
Difference	\$511.00
Status	Met

Difference must be \$0.00 or greater to have met this MOE Test

If the method fails

The MOE compliance standard remains the comparison year value without the exceptions applied

IDEA Fund Compliance Method 4

Entity ID: LEA (CTDS): Status: Closed (Not Met)

Exception Year: 2021

Method 4: Current Year State and Local Actual Amounts Per Capita Compared to the Per Capita Amounts from the Last Year Compliance Method 4 is available

Fiscal Year	State and Local Cost	SPED Count	State and Local Per Capita Cost
2021	\$61,595.00	16.5953	\$3,711.59
2019	\$50,904.00	12.7015	\$4,007.72
Difference			(\$296.12)
2021 Exceptions	\$2,221	.86 / 12.7015	\$174.93
Adjusted Difference			(\$121.19)
Status			Not Met

Using the ESS Budget Planning Tool

State M&O Budget Value should reflect your most recent comparison year value for method 2 or method 4 as established on the FY24 IDEA Maintenance of Effort Compliance test, March 2024.					
FY24 MOE Compliance Method 2 Value:	\$ 3,721,163.00				
FY24 MOE Compliance Method 4 Value:	\$ 9,740.45	x SPED20 Count for FY24	305.9741	=	\$ 2,980,325.42
MOE Compliance Standard	\$ 2,980,325.42				
Enter this value in cell C4 and E4					

Planning Available Funds and How to Spend in FY24

2023-2024 Budget	PEA Na			
2023-2024 Budget		t for FY24	Expiration of funds	A currently has (03/01/2023)
State M&O Budget	\$	2,985,000.00	6/30/2024	\$ 2,985,000.00
Section 611 FY23 Carryover			9/30/2024	
Section 619 FY23 Carryover			9/30/2024	
Section 611 FY24	\$	996,932.04	9/30/2025	
Section 619 FY24	\$	11,716.48	9/30/2025	
Total Available to Spend	\$	3,993,648.52		\$ 2,985,000.00
Federal Dollars	\$	1,008,648.52		\$ -
Section 611 (Basic) ages 3-21	\$	996,932.04		\$ -
Section 619 (Preschool) ages 3- 5, including Kindergarten	\$	11,716.48		\$ _

How Does a SPED Director Plan a Budget?

- Work closely with your Business Manager
- Know your MOE Compliance spending amounts
- Evaluate your needs for staff, services, and supplies/equipment
- Determine which funding source may be used to pay for a given cost
- Consider additional funding sources
 - Desegregation funds
 - Impact Aid
 - Medicaid School-Based Claims
 - ESS Claims (High-Cost Child)

HOW DOES A PEA PRIORITIZE FUNDING?

- State and local funds (M&O funds that are used to calculate Maintenance of Effort)
- 2. IDEA Section 619 carryover
- 3. IDEA Section 611 carryover
- 4. IDEA Section 619 current year allocation
- 5. IDEA Section 611 current year allocation
- 6. ESS Claims Funds (High-Cost Child)



Excess Cost Requirements for IDEA

Excess costs are those costs for the education of an elementary school or secondary school student with a disability that are in excess of the average annual per student expenditure in a PEA during the preceding school year for an elementary or secondary school student. The PEA must spend at least the average annual per student expenditure on the education of an elementary school or secondary school child with a disability before funds under Part B of the Act are used to pay the excess costs for providing special education and related services.

Only allowed costs may be charged to the IDEA grants.

How does the **PEA determine** Which fund should be used to pay for a **SPED Cost?**

Questions to consider:

Is the PEA on track to meet MOE Compliance thresholds?

Is the cost billable for Medicaid-schoolbased claims?

Is the PEA planning to submit claims for HCC or ESEN?

Is it a vacant position the PEA intends to fill during the fiscal year?

IDEA MOE: Compliance vs. Eligibility

	FY24 Compliance	FY25 Eligibility
Testing Window	March 1-31,2024	July 18-August 16, 2024
Testing Year Data	2023 Annual Financial Report All Disability Classifications and IEP-Required Transportation M&O Only	2025 Adopted Budget All Disability Classifications and IEP-Required Transportation M&O Only
Comparison Year Data	Last MOE Compliance Standard for each methodology (AFR)	Last MOE Compliance Standard for each methodology (AFR)
Consequence of failure	PEA will have to return the amount it failed MOE Compliance, or IDEA and ARP-IDEA funds received in FY23, whichever is less	Delayed substantial approval date for FY25 IDEA grants (Unable to charge the grant from 7/1/2024 until eligibility is established)
	Compliance	Eligibility

Resources

Book an Appointment with ESS Program Management (duplicate)

Book time with Trainor, Candice: FY24 IDEA Maintenance of Effort Compliance Testing



Spend 30 minutes with ESS Program Management to discuss the MOE Compliance test results for your PEA. When setting up the appointment, please include your PEA name and CTDS number or Entity ID. Also, feel free to forward your meeting invitation to your colleagues responsible for the IDEA grants or Annual Financial Report submission.

Effort (Mon 3/4/	Y24 IDEA Maintenance of Compliance Testing 2024 2:15 PM - 2:45 PM 0) Arizona	×
Name *		
Trainor, (Candice	
Email *		
Candice.	Trainor@azed.gov	
Notes		
	ne = Mt. Humprhey's Unified, CTDS 00, 99999	
		2
	Book	

ESS Program Management Webinar Series

September 12: ESS Claims

October 3: Proportionate Share and the October 1 Data Collection

January 9: Allowable Costs Under the IDEA

February 13: FY25 IDEA Funding Application

March 1: Maintenance of Effort

April 9: How, When, and What Order to Spend?

May 7: Fiscal Year Wrap-Up

Contact Program Management

ESS Program Management: <u>www.azed.gov/specialeducation</u> /operations

ESS Program Management Inbox: <u>essprogmgmt@azed.gov</u>

Candice Trainor, ESS Director of Finance

candice.trainor@azed.gov

602-542-3398

PEA NAME STARTS WITH	PROGRAM SPECIALIST	NUMBER
A-C	<u>Nancy Chavarin</u>	602-542-3270
D-K	<u>Tanya Rodriguez</u>	602-542-4610
L-Q	<u>Tanya Rodriguez</u>	602-542-4610
R-Z	<u>Nancy Chavarin</u>	602-542-3270