



Monitoring Progress of the FY23 Sustainability Grant

School Support and Improvement Unit

Session Outcome

To understand how to monitor progress of action steps funded by the Sustainability Grant and the impact on your desired outcome(s)





Why monitor?

ESSA requires that LEAs receiving federal funds monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the programs funded by federal funds and examine their impact to ensure that all students have equitable access to a high-quality education.

- Monitoring emphasizes accountability for using resources to educate students
- Monitoring documents your implementation
- Monitoring informs future decision making





Progress Monitoring

The regular collection and analysis of data to track progress against targets and goals.



Are We On Course?



0

IMPACT ON STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT







When to Monitor Progress

October 31, 2022 January 31, 2023 April 30, 2023





Review Grant Application

Refer to your responses to program narrative question #4 in your FY23 Sustainability Grant application in GME to support the completion of this document.

Desired Outcome for	Action Steps	Performance	Describe the data that	Describe how this
2022-23 (from	Include timeline	Targets/Benchmarks	will be collected to	action step will be
question #3)		Include dates/timing	monitor progress	evaluated for
			(along the way) of this	successful impact on
			action step. Include	the desired outcome.
			dates/timing	



Evaluation Tool

	1			1
List your Desired Outcome(s)	What action steps related to	What progress have you	What data did you collect in	Based on the data collected,
for 2022-23 (from question	achieving the desired	made towards your	relation to monitoring	what impact has been made
#3 in the grant application)	outcome(s) have taken place	performance	progress on this desired	in achieving the desired
	this reporting period?	targets/benchmarks during	outcome during this	outcome?
		this reporting period?	reporting period?	What barriers are you
				experiencing?
				What adjustments need to
				be made to the IAP or grant?
, 	1 7			

Fall Example

List your Desired Outcome(s) for 2022-23 (from question #3 in the grant application)	What action steps related to achieving the desired outcome(s) have taken place this reporting period?	What progress have you made towards your performance targets/benchmarks during this reporting period?	What data did you collect in relation to monitoring progress on this desired outcome during this reporting period?	Based on the data collected, what impact has been made in achieving the desired outcome? What barriers are you experiencing? What adjustments need to be made to the IAP or grant?
To improve teacher knowledge,	July – provided 5 days initial LETRS	On track with LETRS training	Instructional coaching provided	Overall, we are observing teachers
skills, and mindset regarding	training for K-5 teachers; provided 2	schedule	weekly on intervention lesson	implementing more effective
providing timely and effective	days refresher LETRS training for		techniques – logs are kept and	intervention lessons with an
literacy intervention. To ensure	instructional coaches and principals	On track with benchmark data	reviewed monthly with principal	increased sense of urgency this year.
certified teachers are trained to		collection – 34% of K-5 students at		Teachers were excited to see the
analyze data to align intervention	August – completed BOY benchmark	benchmark or above on BOY DIBELS,	Principals conducting biweekly	growth by the end of the 6 week
lesson delivery with student needs	and DIBELS data collection; held half	22% of 3-5 students proficient or	intervention walkthroughs – data is	round of interventions.
Students will demonstrate increased	day data analysis session to review	above on BOY district benchmark	aggregated and shared at monthly	
proficiency on academic skills as	initial data collection and create		staff meetings	Barriers – not all teachers are
measured by benchmark	initial intervention groups; provided	On track with data analysis training		collecting DIBELS progress
assessments and DIBELS.	sub coverage for training on	sessions	Intervention group formative data	monitoring data per the schedule
	intervention lesson design and		and program data	provided; fifth grade intervention
	program usage	48% of students moved out of their		groups not starting on time
		intervention group from August to	DIBELS progress monitoring data	regularly
	October – provided 2 additional	October (mastered the skills of that		
	days of LETRS training for k-5	focus area)		No adjustments needed to the grant
	teachers; regrouped students for			or IAP at this time. Adjustments will
	next round of intervention lessons			be made to supports provided by
				coaches regarding progress
				monitoring, and the principal will 🦔
				increase monitoring of 5 th grade
				interventions

Winter Example

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		A MARANA A A MARANA		
List your Desired Outcome(s) for	What action steps related to	What progress have you made	What data did you collect in relation	Based on the data collected, what
2022-23 (from question #3 in the	achieving the desired outcome(s)	towards your performance	to monitoring progress on this	impact has been made in achieving
grant application)	have taken place this reporting	targets/benchmarks during this	desired outcome during this	the desired outcome?
	period?	reporting period?	reporting period?	What barriers are you experiencing?
				What adjustments need to be made
				to the IAP or grant?
To improve teacher knowledge,	December– completed MOY	On track with benchmark data	Instructional coaching provided bi-	Second quarter showed a lot of
skills, and mindset regarding	benchmark and DIBELS data	collection – 52% of K-5 students at	weekly on intervention lesson	growth in our student data at all
providing timely and effective	collection; held half day data	benchmark or above on MOY	techniques – logs are kept and	grade levels with many students
literacy intervention. To ensure	analysis session to review mid-year	DIBELS and reduced well below	reviewed monthly with principal	moving out of foundational skill
certified teachers are trained to	data collection and create new	benchmark by 23%, 39% of 3-5		groups into fluency and
analyze data to align intervention	intervention groups; provided sub	students proficient or above on	Mid-year survey to teachers on data	comprehension groups. Mid-year
lesson delivery with student needs	coverage for training on	MOY district benchmark	analysis and intervention planning	survey showed that 72% of staff feel
Students will demonstrate increased	intervention lesson design and		support	supported and well trained.
proficiency on academic skills as	program usage for staff who are	On track with data analysis training		
measured by benchmark	teaching a new group or program	sessions – 2 completed	Principals conducting biweekly	Barriers – a long term substitute in
assessments and DIBELS.			intervention walkthroughs – data is	first grade is struggling with time
	January – provided an additional	73% of students moved out of their	aggregated and shared at monthly	management and lesson delivery;
	day of LETRS training to k-5 teachers	intervention group from October to	staff meetings	one fifth grade teacher is not using
		December (mastered the skills of		intervention time as intended
		that focus area)	Intervention group formative data	
			and program data	Additional coaching support is
				needed for the sub and fifth grade
			District benchmark data, DIBELS	teacher; additional training time is
			benchmark data, DIBELS progress	needed for development of
			monitoring data	common formatives for
				comprehension skills in core 🛛 🛒 🍝
				instruction

Spring Example

List your Desired Outcome(s) for 2022-23 (from question #3 in the grant application)	What action steps related to achieving the desired outcome(s) have taken place this reporting period?	What progress have you made towards your performance targets/benchmarks during this reporting period?	What data did you collect in relation to monitoring progress on this desired outcome during this reporting period?	Based on all the data collected throughout the school year, did you achieve the desired outcome? If not, why not? What barriers did you experience? How will you overcome these barriers in the next school year? What adjustments need to be made to the new IAP or grant to achieve this desired outcome?
To improve teacher knowledge, skills, and mindset regarding providing timely and effective literacy intervention. To ensure certified teachers are trained to analyze data to align intervention lesson delivery with student needs Students will demonstrate increased proficiency on academic skills as measured by benchmark assessments and DIBELS.	February – Instructional coaches met 3 times with grade levels to develop a process for creating and reviewing common formative assessments for comprehension skills in Tier 1/core instruction March - regrouped students for next round of intervention lessons	62% of students moved out of their intervention group from January to March (mastered the skills of that focus area) On track with benchmark data collection – 47% of 3-5 students proficient or above on final district benchmark given end of March (increase of 25% from BOY); DIBELS EOY to be given in May –progress monitoring data is demonstrating about 60% at benchmark currently	Instructional coaching logs reviewed for core and intervention coaching Principals conducting monthly intervention walkthroughs – data is aggregated and shared at monthly staff meetings; principal is attending one grade level meeting a month Intervention group formative data and program data District benchmark data, DIBELS progress monitoring data	Yes, we feel we have met our desired outcome due to the increases in student data as a result of the structured literacy intervention program, LETRS training, data analysis training, ongoing coaching, and feedback from the principal. The grant does need to be revised as we cancelled a March LETRS training session and are moving it to June. Due to a reduction in staff this year, we will have additional funds left over to add a half day of off contract work in June to analyze end of year data, reflect on this





FY23 Sustainability Grant Progress Monitoring Tool

Directions: Use the form below to document progress on the use of your FY23 School Improvement Sustainability Grant. Additional guidance to support you in completing this task can be found on the <u>ADE SSI Professional Learning website</u>.

Submissions are due on: October 31,2022, January 31, 2023, and April 30, 2023.

LEA: _____

Form Completed By:_____

*Refer to your responses to program narrative question #4 in your FY23 Sustainability Grant application in GME to support the completion of this document.

	nclude timeline	Performance Targets/Benchmarks Include dates/timing	will be collected to monitor progress	Describe how this action step will be evaluated for successful impact on the desired outcome.
--	-----------------	---	--	---

Fall Reporting Period

List your Desired Outcome(s)	What action steps related to	What progress have you	What data did you collect in	Based on the data collected,
for 2022-23 (from question	achieving the desired	made towards your	relation to monitoring	what impact has been made
#3 in the grant application)	outcome(s) have taken place	performance	progress on this desired	in achieving the desired
	this reporting period?	targets/benchmarks during	outcome during this	outcome?
		this reporting period?	reporting period?	What barriers are you
				experiencing?
				What adjustments need to be
				made to the IAP or grant?
		1	1	1

Add additional rows if needed.

Recap



Thank you so much for your time today! If you would like copies of the materials used in the webinar, please visit: <u>www.azed.gov/improvement/professional-</u> <u>learning</u>



If you need additional support, please contact your program specialist or send a message to <u>SchoolImprovementInbox@azed.gov</u>

