Alternate Assessment Participation Guidelines and Annual Eligibility Determination

The Arizona Department of Education offers an alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in compliance with the U.S. Department of Education's federal regulations and guidance. A student must have an Individualized Education Program (IEP) to be considered for participation in an alternate assessment. All students must participate in state assessments. IEP teams should consider the testing cycles that will occur during the student's IEP year and include suitable instructional time before administration windows. For example, an IEP team that determines eligibility before the close of the Student Selector Application in the fall has carefully considered the instructional time prior to test administration. An IEP team making the determination in the spring is documenting the preparation for the assessment during the following school year.

Arizona's Alternate Assessments:

ELA and Mathematics Grades 3 - 8 and 11 - Multi-State Alternate Assessment (MSAA)

Science Grades 5, 8, and 11 – Science Alternate Assessment through MSAA

English Language Proficiency Grades K-12 for English learners with the most significant cognitive disabilities – Alt ELPA

IEP Teams will discuss and determine participation and eligibility using the Alternate Assessment Participation Decision Documents. A student will be eligible in all areas (ELA, Mathematics and Science) where grades overlap. For an English learner with the most significant cognitive disabilities, they must meet the criteria for eligibility to take both the MSAA and Alt ELPA, even if they are in a grade that is not tested using the MSAA.

Student Name			District ID	SSID	
Date of Birth	Grade	School			
Case Manager					

Grade	ELA/Math	Science	Alt ELPA
K			X
1			X
2			X
3	X		X
4	X		X
5	X	Χ	Χ
6	X		X
7	X		Х
8	X	Х	Х
9			X
10			Х
11	X	Х	Х
12			Х

Note: The IEP team must complete the parent notification of alternate assessment participation on page 4.

☐ Alternate assessments are not administered at the student's grade level for this s	school year and the student
is not an English learner.	•

Alternate Assessment Participation Decision Documentation

Description

To meet criteria for alternate assessments, the student must meet all participation criteria descriptors.

Participation Criterion 1	Participation Criterion 2		
The student has a significant cognitive disability.	The student is learning content linked to (derived from) state content standards.		
☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Participation Criterion 1 Descriptor	Double in editor Onitorion 2 December		
Review of student records indicate a disability or	Participation Criterion 2 Descriptor		
multiple disabilities that significantly impact intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior. (Adaptive behavior is defined as essential for someone to live independently and to function safely in daily life.)	Goals and instruction listed in the IEP for this student are linked to the enrolled grade-level state standards and address knowledge and skills that are appropriate and challenging for this student. Goals are written to allow for suitable instructional time prior to test administration.		
Sources of Evidence for Criterion 1 (check			
if used)	Sources of Evidence for Criterion 2 (check		
Results of Individual Cognitive Ability Test	 if used) Examples of curriculum, instructional objectives and materials including work samples Present levels of academic and functional performance, goals, and objectives from the IEP Data from scientific research-based 		
Results of Adaptive Behavior Skills Assessment			
Results of individual and group administered achievement tests			
Results of informal assessment			
☐ Results of individual reading assessments	interventions		
☐ Results of district-wide alternate assessments	☐ Progress monitoring data		
Results of language assessments including			
English learner (EL) language assessments if applicable.	Describe the sources of evidence that were used for criterion 2 and how that evidence supports eligibility.		
Describe the sources of evidence that were used for criterion 1 and how that evidence supports eligibility.	Source		
Source	Description		

Participation Criterion 3
The student requires extensive direct individualized instruction and substantial supports to achieve measurable gains in the grade- and- age-appropriate curriculum.
☐ Yes ☐ No
_ ,, , , _ , , _ , , , , , , , , , , ,
Participation Criterion 3 Descriptor
The student (a) requires extensive, repeated, individualized instruction and support that is not of

The student (a) requires extensive, repeated, individualized instruction and support that is not of a temporary or transient nature and (b) uses substantially adapted materials and individualized methods of accessing information in alternative ways to acquire, maintain, generalize, demonstrate, and transfer skills across academic content.

Sources of Evidence for Criterion 3 (check if used)

☐ Teacher collected data and checklists
☐ Present levels of academic and functional performance, goals, and objectives, and post school outcomes from the IEP and the Transition Plan for students age 16 years and older unless State policy or the IEP team determines a younger age is appropriate.

Describe the sources of evidence that were used for criterion 3 and how that evidence supports eligibility.

Source

Description

The student may participate in alternate assessments if all criteria are marked yes.

Alternate English Language Proficiency Assessment Participation Decision Documentation

The following definition serves as the basis for the Alt ELPA participation guidelines. English learners with the most significant cognitive disabilities are students

- who are not proficient in the English language and may have been identified as needing English language development services;
- who meet the federal definition of an English learner (ESEA §8101(20))*;
- who meet the state definition for having a most significant cognitive disability; and
- whose Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams have determined an alternate assessment is appropriate for the student.

*English learner – The term "English learner," when used with respect to an individual, means an individual — (A) who is aged 3 through 21;

- (B) who is enrolled or preparing to enroll in an elementary school or secondary school;
- (C)(i) who was not born in the United States or whose native language is a language other than English; (ii)(I) who is a Native American or Alaska Native, or a native resident of the outlying areas; and (II) who comes from an environment where a language other than English has had a significant impact on the individual's level of English language proficiency; or (iii) who is migratory, whose native language is a language other than English, and who comes from an environment where a language other than English is dominant; and
- (D) whose difficulties in speaking, reading, writing, or understanding the English language may be sufficient to deny the individual (i) the ability to meet the challenging State academic standards; (ii) the ability to successfully achieve in classrooms where the language of instruction is English; or (iii) the opportunity to participate fully in society.

Note: A student using American Sign Language as a means of communication is not considered an English learner for the purpose of participation in English Language Proficiency Assessments.

Eligibility Determinate for the Alternate English Language Proficiency Assessment (Alt ELPA)
☐ The student has been identified as needing English language development services
☐ The student meets the federal definition of an English learner
☐ The student is eligible for alternate content assessments (MSAA)

The following should not be considered in the eligibility determination process:

- 1. A disability category or label
- 2. Poor attendance or extended absences
- 3. Native language/social/cultural or economic difference
- 4. Expected poor performance on the general education assessment
- 5. Academic and other services received
- 6. Educational environment or instructional setting
- 7. Percent of time receiving special education services
- 8. English learner (EL) status except for determining participation in Alt ELPA
- 9. Low reading level/achievement level
- 10. Anticipated disruptive behavior
- 11. Impact of test scores on accountability system
- 12. Administrator decision
- 13. Anticipated emotional duress
- 14. Need for accommodations, e.g., assistive technology/AAC to participate in assessment process

□ I	Evidence shows that the	ne decision for pa	rticipating in alter	nate assessments	was not based	on above list
-----	-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------	------------------	---------------	---------------

IEP Team Statement of Assurance:

Our decision was based on multiple pieces of evidence that, when taken together, demonstrated that

- The Alternate Assessment is the most appropriate assessment for this student
- Academic instruction will be based on the CCCs linked to state content standards for MSAA and Alternate ELP Standards for the Alt ELPA
- There is suitable instructional time prior to test administration
- The additional considerations listed above were not used to make this decision
- Any additional implications of this decision were discussed thoroughly.

Parent Notification

Alternate Assessment Participation

Following IEP team review of participation guidelines, the student is eligible for and will participate in the following assessments:

MSAA ELA, Mathematics, an	d Science		
	ternate Assessment Eligibility must b Application on November 30 for the s)		
☐ No (The student will partic	pate in statewide achievement tests)		
Alt ELPA			
☐ Yes (Students in Grades 3-	-8 and 11 must also be determined e	ligible for MSAA.)	
☐ No, the student will particip	ate in AZELLA.		
☐ No, the student is not an Er	nglish learner.		
Potential Consequences			
Are there any effects or local p diploma for the student particip	oolicies that would preclude completion pating in testing?	on requirements for a regula	ar high school
☐ Yes ☐ No			
If yes, explain:			
This IEP team has determined above.	the student is eligible to participate i	n alternate assessments as	s indicated Agreement
Parent(s)/Guardian:		Date:	
Signature:	Position:	Date:	
Signature:	Position:	Date:	_
Signature:	Position:	Date:	_
Signature:	Position:	Date:	
Signature:	Position:	Date:	
Signature:	Position:	Date:	
Notes:			