Quarterly Benchmark Analysis and IAP Reflection Tool (SY 2020-2021)

LEA: (EXAMPLE)	School: Sunshine Elementary School (EXAMPLE)
	Sansinie Elemental / School (E/A Will EE)

Overview:

The purpose of this Quarterly Benchmark Analysis and IAP Reflection Tool is to give your school team a structured opportunity to review and analyze benchmark data, reflect on Integrated Action Plan goals, strategies, and action steps, and create next steps based on data analysis. The template is broken down into 3 parts.

I. Data Review Process	II. Benchmark Data Sources & Results	III. IAP Review & Data Analysis
How does your team review IAP progress and	What benchmark assessments are administered	What is your theory as to why you achieved the
benchmark data? Who is part of your team? How	and to whom? What are the results of the	benchmark results? What implications does it have
do you share information with stakeholders?	benchmark assessments?	on your IAP moving forward? What needs to be
		done as a result?

This process is intended to be completed by the site-based leadership team on a quarterly basis. It is highly recommended that your team plan these data analysis meetings prior to the due dates listed below. Please do not hesitate to reach out to your assigned Program Specialist for support.

Document Submission:

- This document is cumulative for the 2020-2021 School Year and should be updated and added to each quarter. See table below for due dates.
- Email your Quarterly Benchmark Analysis and IAP Reflection directly to your Specialist.
 - o Eventually, we will use the new **EMAC system**; when the system is live, we will provide additional guidance and instructions for uploading.
- Schools may also include their benchmark data using their own data collection form (ex: Galileo Benchmark Report for aggregate school and grade level data). *Please do not send individual student data*.

Table: Quarterly Benchmark Information and Due Dates

Quarter	Type of Data	Data Source	Benchmark Analysis and IAP Reflection Due
Quarter 1	Beginning of Year 6 Week Benchmark	School-determined (may be different than Benchmarks)	October 29, 2020
Quarter 2	Benchmark #2	School-determined	January 28, 2021
Quarter 3	Benchmark #3	School-determined	March 31, 2021
Quarter 4	End of Year and Final Benchmark	State Assessment	June 30, 2021

I. Data Review Process

Directions: Please answer all three questions to describe how your team conducts quarterly benchmark and IAP analyses. How do you review data and implementation information, who participates, and how does your team share results with other stakeholders?

ſ	
ı	=/,
ļ	_4

Describe the data review process your team uses. Please be specific and note how you disaggregate subgroups during your review.



Who is part of your team's data review process? List roles/names.



How does your team share results with other appropriate stakeholders?

We use the Protocol for Examining Data Developed from National School Reform Faculty. This protocol is used in guiding our group through analysis of our Quarterly Benchmark data to identify strengths and opportunities for improvement.

- Step 1: What parts of this data catch your attention?
- Step 2: What does the data tell us? What does the data NOT tell us? (*this step is when we disaggregate data by subgroup)
- Step 3: What good news is there to celebrate?
- Step 4: What are the problems of practice suggested by the data?
- Step 5: What are our key conclusions? What recommendations does the team have for addressing the problems of practice?

When following this protocol, we disaggregated data by all subgroups, each grade level and by classroom to identify trends, strengths and challenges.

Key conclusions are formed for each disaggregated group with next steps identifies.

The initial data review for each benchmark will be done by our school leadership team. This team is made up of site admin, reading specialist, math specialist, behavior coach, and a grade level rep from each grade level (K-6).

After our team conducts school-wide benchmark data analysis, we present our key findings and proposed priorities at our staff meeting. Then, we review detailed benchmark data in grade level teams within PLCs in the following week to repeat the Protocol. We do this to give all teachers the opportunity to contextualize our leadership-identified priorities for their grade levels and to ensure there are clear next steps and actions determined in every class.

II. Benchmark Data Sources and Results

Directions: Fill out your benchmark data information for both ELA and Math in the designated tables below. In the "Data Source Information" table, please include the name of the data source (i.e. the name of the assessment), which grades that assessment was administered to, and the date it will be administered. Next, please identify the reporting measurement of the data you will be reporting (i.e. % Proficient or Above). Lastly, please report the benchmark data for each grade that was assessed each quarter.

II.A) ELA Data Source Information

	Benchmark #1 (administered by 9/25)	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchmark	End of Year
Name of Data	District Pre-Test				State Assessment
Source					
Grades Tested	3-6				
Date Administered	9/16/20				

II.B) Check the box that describes the report provided.	ting measurement the table below represents. Fill in "ot	ther" if your da	ta is not represented by the options
X % Proficient or Above	☐ % Meeting Expectations		Other [please fill in]
II.C)			
	ELA Data by Grade Level		
	(add additional rows if needed)		

	(add additional rows if needed)					
Grade	Benchmark #1 (administered by 9/25)	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchmark	End of Year AzM2	
3rd	24%					
4th	32%					
5th	29%					
6th	21%					

II.D) Math Data Source Information

15%

6th

	Benchmark #1 (administered by 9/25)	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchmark	End of Year
Name of Data	District Pre-Test				State Assessment
Source					
Grades Tested	3 rd -6th				
Date	9/20/20				
Administered					

Administered					
provided.	box that describes the reporti		below represents. Fill i		ot represented by the options [please fill in]
II.F)					
		Math	Data by Grade Level		
		(add add	ditional rows if needed)		
Grade	Benchmark #1 (administered by 9/25)	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchma	rk End of Year AzM2
3rd	19%				
4th	22%				
5th	18%				

III. IAP Review & Data Analysis

Directions: Fill out your benchmark data information for both ELA and Math in the designated tables below. In the "Data Source Information" table, please include the name of the data source (i.e. the name of the assessment), which grades that assessment was administered to, and the date it will be administered. Next, please identify the reporting measurement of the data you will be reporting (i.e. % Proficient or Above). Lastly, please report the benchmark data for each grade that was assessed each quarter.

*If you are a <u>CSI Grad Rate school</u>, consider reporting on metrics such as credit recovery, on-time graduation projections/estimates, end of course assessments, and/ or other leading indicators that may be in your IAP and aligned to your CSI low graduation rate identification. This can be in addition to ELA and math benchmark data or in place of that data. Please be clear in the data source box as to what data sources you are reporting.

**CSI schools identified for both low achievement and low grad rate should report on academic measures as well as graduation indicator data.

III.A) IAP REVIEW					
	IAP IMPLEN	MENTATION	IAP GOALS 8	& PROGRESS	
	ON TRACK	NOT ON TRACK	IAP PROCESS GOALS	IAP IMPACT GOALS	
	What IAP strategies and action steps	What IAP strategies and action steps	List IAP Process Goals and provide	List IAP Impact Goals and provide an	
	have been implemented or are on	were <i>not</i> implemented and/or need	an update on progress towards goals	update on progress towards goals	
	track to implement?	more attention?	for each quarter.	for each quarter.	
Q1	Strategy 1: Implement effective	Strategy 1: Implement effective	Process Goal #1: All teachers will	Impact Goal #1: Math	
6-week	data meetings utilizing district	data meetings utilizing district	understand their role in the data	achievement for all students in	
Benchmark	benchmark assessments	benchmark assessments	protocol process before the	3rd and 6th grade will increase by	
#1	Administered benchmarks	Effective implementation of	pretest data is received, as	5.5% moving from 14.5%	
	Framework for data	data meetings needs more	measured by attending PD on	proficient or highly proficient on	
	meetings created and shared	attention and coaching	this topic and participating in	the 2020-2021 State Assessment	
	Strategy 2: Refine our Multi-	Data analysis that is rooted	pretest data analysis.	to 20% proficient or highly	
	Tiered System of Support	in unpacked standards	All teachers attended a 2-day	proficient on the 2021-2022 State	
	Evaluation of practices and	Strategy 2: Refine our Multi-	PD where the data review	Assessment	
	structures (w/feedback)	Tiered System of Support	process was taught and		
	Staff participated in MTSS	Draft plan of MTSS still needs	modeled	Impact Goal #2 ELA achievement	
	training (part 1 of 3) and is	more input and details		for all students in 5th and 6th	
	beginning our MTSS draft	MTSS plan needs to include	Process Goal #2: By the end of	grade will increase by 6% moving	
	plan for the year	SEL practices	1Q20, all teachers will complete	from 25% proficient or highly	
	Strategy 3: Implement School-	Strategy 3: Implement School-	professional learning regarding	proficient on the 2020-2021 AZ	
	wide SEL practices	wide SEL practices	social emotional needs and will	Merit to 31% proficient or highly	
	SEL training attended (part 1)	and old produced	implement at least four SEL	proficient on the 2021-2022 State	
	of 4)		lessons during homeroom.	Assessment	
	OJ 4)		10330113 daring nomeroom.	71000007710771	

	 PBIS program researched and adopted SEL lessons being implemented 	 Need to focus on data collection and analysis of behaviors Incorporate more family partnerships in our SEL plan and keep a focus on cultural responsiveness Overall: We are still working to adjust our structures to meet the needs of students who are learning at home. 	 All but two teachers attended Q1 SEL training 7 teachers have delivered 4 or more SEL lessons; 4 have delivered 3 SEL lessons; 2 have delivered 2 	Impact Goal #3 The total number of discipline referrals will decrease by 100 from 406 in the 2020-2021 school year to 306 in the 2021-2022 school year. • We believe we have made progress towards our two academic impact goals by utilizing our baseline pretest data to allow our grade level teams to focus on specific standards when planning lessons. We are on target to work towards our goal of a decrease in referrals by implementing planned SEL lessons, and using PBIS strategies shared during PD sessions. Data shows that we have had a decrease in referrals of 10 when we compare August-October 2020 referrals to August-October 2021.
Q2 Benchmark #2				
Q3 Benchmark #2				

Q4			
End of Year	+		
Final			
Benchmark	k		

III.D DAIA AN	IALYSIS & NEXT STEPS	CONTRIBUTING CALISES	PRIORITIZATION	NEVT CTERS
	PATTERNS & TRENDS	CONTRIBUTING CAUSES	PRIORITIZATION	NEXT STEPS
	What patterns did your team observe in the benchmark data? (Be	What do you believe contributed to these results that is within your	Based on your team's analysis of your current outcomes (with regards	How will your team support those priorities? What strategies/actions
	specific. Look at grade level	control? Refer to your IAP review of	to benchmark data and other IAP	within your current IAP need to be
	strengths and challenges, teacher	implementation and goals as	goals), what are your top priorities	adjusted? What does your team
	trends, content standards,	needed.	as you move into the next quarter	need to do and who will be
	subgroups, etc.)		and why?	responsible?
Q1	Overall: 4 th grade had highest	Our MTSS structures are in the	ELA : Supporting teachers to	Leadership will attend the next
6-week	proficiency rates in ELA and	process of being revised because	implement informational text	scheduled trainings for MTSS and
Benchmark	Math; 6 th grade had lowest.	we recognize our interventions	mini lessons within Social Studies	SEL (Oct. and Nov.) and identify
#1	Economically disadvantaged	were not as effective as they	and Science blocks and providing	evidence-based interventions
	students performed slightly lower	needed to be, and our data	supplemental materials as	aligned to priority standards
	than peers (ranging from 3-6%	practices needed refinement. This	needed.	based on benchmark data. GL
	differences by grade and content	is still an underlying cause to the		teams will use intervention data
	area).	results we're seeing in Q1.	Standards: Utilize teacher	as a basis for their student study
			planning time to deconstruct ELA	team meetings 1x/week.
	ELA : 3rd grade students showed	Our current ELA curriculum	and Math standards with a focus	Leadership will observe
	strengths in RL3.1, but were not	emphasizes literature more than	on the ELA and Math standards	interventions and provide support
	as proficient in RI.1. This was true	informational text. Teachers lack	identified as deficiencies based	as needed.
	in most grade levels. Grade level	strategies to incorporate	on the pretest data.	
	teams feel that we need more of	informational text.		We will amend our IAP to include
	a focus on informational text.		Testing : Schoolwide testing	an action step for prioritizing
		Teachers who are new to the	protocols and expectations will	informational text (Principal).
	Math : Proficiency averages for	school and did not participate in	be developed and taught to	Grade level teams will be
	math are at 25% when analyzing	the multi-part standards training	students. This will include	facilitating this process and
	grades 3-6 benchmark data.	in 2018 lack a thorough	strategies to help students and	reporting progress to the
	While this proficiency rate is	understanding of their grade	staff be successful.	leadership team on mini lesson
	lower, it is on trend with past	level content standards in ELA		implementation.
	years baseline data.	and Math.		

	Eth arada avaraged 100/		CEL /MITCE: Continue to develor	Landarship will continue to be
	5 th grade averaged 18%		SEL/MTSS: Continue to develop	Leadership will continue to be
	proficiency rate but there was	Teachers reported that students	and/or compile data-driven	present and provide coaching for
	one teacher who achieved 29%	did not take the assessment	interventions for those skills	grade level planning meetings
	proficiency.	seriously. Overall, engagement	aligned with identified priority	when they are deconstructing
		has been difficult with virtual	standards based on benchmark	standards. Leadership team will
		teaching, especially for students	data.	work with GLs to develop a
		with less support at home. There		location to document the
		is concern about basic needs not		deconstruction for all teachers.
		always being met and therefore		
		students are not "ready" to learn		Leadership will propose a testing
		and be assessed every day.		protocol for our students and
				staff and solicit feedback from
		Historically, math pretest data		teachers. The goal is to
		has shown that students struggle		implement the protocol ~3 weeks
		with math concepts that have not		prior to our next benchmark (end
		been taught previously.		of Nov/beginning of December).
Q2				
Benchmark				
#2				
Q3				
Benchmark				
#2				
Q4				
End of Year +				
Final				
Benchmark				