Quarterly Benchmark Analysis and IAP Reflection Tool (SY 2020-2021)

Overview:

The purpose of this Quarterly Benchmark Analysis and IAP Reflection Tool is to give your school team a structured opportunity to review and analyze benchmark data, reflect on Integrated Action Plan goals, strategies, and action steps, and create next steps based on data analysis. The template is broken down into 3 parts.

I. Data Review Process	II. Benchmark Data Sources & Results	III. IAP Review & Data Analysis
How does your team review IAP progress and	What benchmark assessments are administered	What is your theory as to why you achieved the
benchmark data? Who is part of your team? How	and to whom? What are the results of the	benchmark results? What implications does it have
do you share information with stakeholders?	benchmark assessments?	on your IAP moving forward? What needs to be
		done as a result?

This process is intended to be completed by the site-based leadership team on a quarterly basis. It is highly recommended that your team plan these data analysis meetings prior to the due dates listed below. Please do not hesitate to reach out to your assigned Program Specialist for support.

Document Submission:

- This document is cumulative for the 2020-2021 School Year and should be updated and added to each quarter. See table below for due dates.
- Email your Quarterly Benchmark Analysis and IAP Reflection directly to your Specialist.
 - o Eventually, we will use the new **EMAC system**; when the system is live, we will provide additional guidance and instructions for uploading.
- Schools may also include their benchmark data using their own data collection form (ex: Galileo Benchmark Report for aggregate school and grade level data). *Please do not send individual student data*.

Table: Quarterly Benchmark Information and Due Dates

Quarter	Type of Data	Data Source	Benchmark Analysis and IAP
			Reflection Due
Quarter 1	Beginning of Year 6 Week Benchmark	School-determined (may be different	October 30, 2020
	#1 (by 9/25 per Governor's order) *	than Benchmarks)	
Quarter 2	Benchmark #2	School-determined	January 15, 2021
Quarter 3	Benchmark #3	School-determined	March 15, 2021
Quarter 4	End of Year and Final Benchmark	Must include AzM2 and School-	June 15, 2021
		determined measure	

I. Data Review Process

Directions: Please answer all three questions to describe how your team conducts quarterly benchmark and IAP analyses. How do you review data and implementation information, who participates, and how does your team share results with other stakeholders?

ſ	三
l	Ξα

Describe the data review process your team uses. Please be specific and note how you disaggregate subgroups during your review.



Who is part of your team's data review process? List roles/names.



How does your team share results with other appropriate stakeholders?

We use the Protocol for Examining Data Developed from National School Reform Faculty. This protocol is used in guiding our group through analysis of our Quarterly Benchmark data to identify strengths and opportunities for improvement.

- Step 1: What parts of this data catch your attention?
- Step 2: What does the data tell us? What does the data NOT tell us? (*this step is when we disaggregate data by subgroup)
- Step 3: What good news is there to celebrate?
- Step 4: What are the problems of practice suggested by the data?
- Step 5: What are our key conclusions? What recommendations does the team have for addressing the problems of practice?

When following this protocol, we disaggregated data by all subgroups, each grade level and by classroom to identify trends, strengths and challenges.

Key conclusions are formed for each disaggregated group with next steps identifies.

The initial data review for each benchmark will be done by our school leadership team. This team is made up of site admin, reading specialist, math specialist, behavior coach, and a grade level rep from each grade level (K-6).

After our team conducts school-wide benchmark data analysis, we present our key findings and proposed priorities at our staff meeting. Then, we review detailed benchmark data in grade level teams within PLCs in the following week to repeat the Protocol. We do this to give all teachers the opportunity to contextualize our leadership-identified priorities for their grade levels and to ensure there are clear next steps and actions determined in every class.

II. Benchmark Data Sources and Results

Directions: Fill out your benchmark data information for both ELA and Math in the designated tables below. In the "Data Source Information" table, please include the name of the data source (i.e. the name of the assessment), which grades that assessment was administered to, and the date it will be administered. Next, please identify the reporting measurement of the data you will be reporting (i.e. % Proficient or Above). Lastly, please report the benchmark data for each grade that was assessed each quarter.

II.A) ELA Data Source Information

	Benchmark #1 (administered by 9/25)	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchmark	End of Year
Name of Data	District Pre-Test				AzM2
Source					
Grades Tested	3-6				
Date	9/16/20				
Administered					

II.B) Check the box that describes the reportin provided.	g measurement the table below represents. Fill in "ot	ther" if your data is not represented by the options
X % Proficient or Above	☐ % Meeting Expectations	☐ Other [please fill in]
II.C)		
	ELA Data by Grade Level	·
	(add additional rows if needed)	

	(dud duditional rows if needed)				
Grade	Benchmark #1	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchmark	End of Year AzM2
	(administered by 9/25)				
3rd	24%				
4th	32%				
5th	29%				
6th	21%				

II.D) Math Data Source Information

15%

6th

	Benchmark #1 (administered by 9/25)	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchmark	End of Year
Name of Data	District Pre-Test				AzM2
Source					
Grades Tested	3 rd -6th				
Date	9/20/20				
Administered					

Administered					
provided.	oox that describes the repo		e below represents. Fill a		ot represented by the options r [please fill in]
II.F)					
			Data by Grade Level		
		(add ad	lditional rows if needed)		
Grade	Benchmark #1 (administered by 9/25)	Benchmark #2	Benchmark #3	Final Benchma	ark End of Year AzM2
3rd	19%				
4th	22%				
5th	18%				

III. IAP Review & Data Analysis

Directions: This section has two parts: 1) IAP Review and 2) Data Analysis and Next Steps. In the IAP Review table, please record the strategies and actions from your IAP that have been implemented as either on track or off track. Then, provide an update on your IAP Process Goals and Impact Goals by listing them in the quarterly boxes and providing a brief update on progress towards the goals. If you are a <u>CSI Grad Rate school</u>, consider reporting on metrics such as credit recovery, on-time graduation projections/estimates, and other leading indicators that may be in your IAP and aligned to your CSI identification. In the Data Analysis and Next Steps table, your team will summarize patterns you saw in the benchmark data and make special note of disaggregated subgroups as applicable. Next, based on those patterns or notable results, you will examine contributing causes at which point your team will consider your IAP Review information. Finally, you will determine your top priorities based on the student-level results of the quarter and identify clear next steps to support those priorities. If you plan to make any adjustments to your IAP, please contact your Specialist prior to doing so.

IAP GOALS & PROGRESS ESS GOALS IAP IMPACT GOALS
Goals and provide List IAP Impact Goals and provide an
gress towards goals update on progress towards goals
quarter. for each quarter.
: All teachers will Impact Goal #1: Math
role in the data achievement for all students in
before the 3rd and 6th grade will increase
eceived, as by 5.5% moving from 14.5%
ending PD on proficient or highly proficient on
rticipating in the 2018-2019 AZMerit to 20%
llysis. proficient or highly proficient on
attended a 2-day the 2019-2020 AzM2 (Goal
e data review remains same for SY 2020-2021
taught and in lieu of testing in 2020).
Impact Goal #2 ELA achievement
: By the end of for all students in 5th and 6th
rs will complete grade will increase by 6% moving
rning regarding from 25% proficient or highly
needs and will proficient on the 2018-2019 AZ
ast four SEL Merit to 31% proficient or highly
omeroom. proficient on the 2019-2020
Print a a

	PBIS program researched and adopted SEL lessons being implemented	 Need to focus on data collection and analysis of behaviors Incorporate more family partnerships in our SEL plan and keep a focus on cultural responsiveness Overall: We are still working to adjust our structures to meet the needs of students who are learning at home. 	 All but two teachers attended Q1 SEL training 7 teachers have delivered 4 or more SEL lessons; 4 have delivered 3 SEL lessons; 2 have delivered 2 	AzM2 (Goal remains same for SY 2020-2021 in lieu of testing in 2020). Impact Goal #3 The total number of discipline referrals will decrease by 100 from 406 in the 2019-2020 school year to 306 in the 2020-2021 school year. • We believe we have made progress towards our two academic impact goals by utilizing our baseline pretest data to allow our grade level teams to focus on specific standards when planning lessons. We are on target to work towards our goal of a decrease in referrals by implementing planned SEL lessons, and using PBIS strategies shared during PD sessions. Data shows that we have had a decrease in referrals of 10 when we compare August-October 2019 referrals to August-October 2020.
Q2 Benchmark #2				
Q3 Benchmark #2				

Q4		
End of Year +		
Final		
Benchmark		

	PATTERNS & TRENDS	CONTRIBUTING CAUSES	PRIORITIZATION	NEXT STEPS
	What patterns did your team	What do you believe contributed to	Based on your team's analysis of	How will your team support those
	observe in the benchmark data? (Be	these results that is within your	your current outcomes (with regards	priorities? What strategies/actions
	specific. Look at grade level	control? Refer to your IAP review of	to benchmark data and other IAP	within your current IAP need to be
	strengths and challenges, teacher	implementation and goals as	goals), what are your top priorities	adjusted? What does your team
	trends, content standards,	needed.	as you move into the next quarter	need to do and who will be
	subgroups, etc.)	O AMESS at a state of the state	and why?	responsible?
Q1	Overall: 4 th grade had highest	Our MTSS structures are in the	ELA : Supporting teachers to	Leadership will attend the next
6-week	proficiency rates in ELA and	process of being revised because	implement informational text	scheduled trainings for MTSS and
Benchmark	Math; 6 th grade had lowest.	we recognize our interventions	mini lessons within Social Studies	SEL (Oct. and Nov.) and identify
#1	Economically disadvantaged	were not as effective as they	and Science blocks and providing	evidence-based interventions
	students performed slightly lower	needed to be, and our data	supplemental materials as	aligned to priority standards
	than peers (ranging from 3-6%	practices needed refinement. This	needed.	based on benchmark data. GL
	differences by grade and content	is still an underlying cause to the		teams will use intervention data
	area).	results we're seeing in Q1.	Standards: Utilize teacher	as a basis for their student study
			planning time to deconstruct ELA	team meetings 1x/week.
	ELA : 3rd grade students showed	Our current ELA curriculum	and Math standards with a focus	Leadership will observe
	strengths in RL3.1, but were not	emphasizes literature more than	on the ELA and Math standards	interventions and provide suppor
	as proficient in RI.1. This was true	informational text. Teachers lack	identified as deficiencies based	as needed.
	in most grade levels. Grade level	strategies to incorporate	on the pretest data.	
	teams feel that we need more of	informational text.		We will amend our IAP to include
	a focus on informational text.		Testing : Schoolwide testing	an action step for prioritizing
		Teachers who are new to the	protocols and expectations will	informational text (Principal).
	Math : Proficiency averages for	school and did not participate in	be developed and taught to	Grade level teams will be
	math are at 25% when analyzing	the multi-part standards training	students. This will include	facilitating this process and
	grades 3-6 benchmark data.	in 2018 lack a thorough	strategies to help students and	reporting progress to the
	While this proficiency rate is	understanding of their grade	staff be successful.	leadership team on mini lesson
	lower, it is on trend with past	level content standards in ELA		implementation.
	years baseline data.	and Math.		

proficiency rate but there was	readership will continue to be resent and provide coaching for rade level planning meetings
	rade level planning meetings
one teacher who achieved 29% I did not take the assessment I interventions for those skills I aran	
proficiency. seriously. Overall, engagement aligned with identified priority whe	hen they are deconstructing
has been difficult with virtual standards based on benchmark stan	andards. Leadership team will
	ork with GLs to develop a
	cation to document the
	econstruction for all teachers.
always being met and therefore	
students are not "ready" to learn Lead	eadership will propose a testing
and be assessed every day. prot	rotocol for our students and
stafj	aff and solicit feedback from
Historically, math pretest data teac	eachers. The goal is to
has shown that students struggle impl	nplement the protocol ~3 weeks
with math concepts that have not prior	rior to our next benchmark (end
been taught previously. of N	f Nov/beginning of December).
Q2	
Benchmark	
#2	
Q3	
Benchmark	
#2	
Q4	
End of Year +	
Final	
Benchmark	