

School Breakfast Program On-Site Monitoring Form

This form is to be completed every school year prior to February 1 for 50% of sites operating the School Breakfast Program by each School Food Authority (SFA). In addition, schools operating Breakfast in the Classroom (BIC) are encouraged to observe as many classrooms as they deem necessary. While the annual requirement is to monitor 50% of the schools approved to participate in the SBP in the LEA's jurisdiction, each school approved to participate in the SBP must be reviewed at least once every two years.

Site Name:	
SFA Monitor:	
Monitoring Date:	
Yes No N/A	Answer the following questions during the on-site review.
	I. Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved point of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the location where complete meals are served to children.) Number of classrooms observed for BIC (if applicable):
	2. Is the point of service meal count used to determine the school's claim for reimbursement?
	3. Is the person responsible for monitoring meals correctly identifying reimbursable meals for the menu planning option selected by the SFA?
	4. Is the school correctly implementing policies for handling the following (as applicable):
	 a) Incomplete meals? b) Second meals? c) Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, PINs? d) Visiting student meals? e) Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs. non-program? f) A la carte? g) Student worker meals?
	h) Field trips? i) Charged and/or prepaid meals? j) Offer vs. Serve?

Yes No N/A	Continue answering the questions.		
	5.Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (e.g., not meeting meal pattern requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.) and distinguishing them from reimbursable meals?		
	6. Is someone trained as a backup for the monito	r and the meal counter?	
	7. Are there procedures for meal counting and clack claiming system is not available, and does staff k		
	8. Are the daily counts correctly totaled and recor	rded?	
	9. If claims are aggregated, are the meal counts of	correctly totaled and consolidated?	
	10. Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) established to ensure that daily counts do not exceed the number of students eligible or in attendance and that an accurate claim for reimbursement is made? Record today's meal counts by category and compare to the number of students eligible by category.		
	Number of Students Eligible by Category	Today's Meal Counts by Category	
	Free: Reduced: Paid:	Free: Reduced: Paid:	
	11. Does the system prevent overt identification of children receiving free or reduced-price meals?		
	THE QUESTIONS BELOW ARE 'N/A' FOR SFA NON-BASE YEARS, CEP, OR RCCIs WITH ON		
	12. Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and upart and accurate daily count of reimbursable meals by		
	13. Are edit checks completed and documented which compare the daily counts of free, reduced price, and paid meals against the product of the number of children currently eligible for free, reduced price, and paid meals, respectively, times an attendance factor (and any discrepancies accounted for)?		

Explain the corrective action plan for all "No" answers.			
What date will	corrective action be implemented by and who is responsible for the implementation?		
Yes No	Assessment of the readily observable general areas.		
	1.Were any issues observed in relation to resource management?		
	a) Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account		
	b) Paid Lunch Equity		
	c) Revenue of Nonprogram Foods d) Indirect Costs		
	d) mairest oosts		
	2. Were any issues observed in other general areas?		
	a) Free & Reduced Price Process - verification, notification, and other procedures		
	b) Civil Rights		
	c) Reporting and recording		
	d) Food Safety		
	e) Competitive Food Service		
	f) Water		
	g) Professional Standards		
	h) SBP and SFSP Outreach		
	i) Local School Wellness Policy		
	j) Other		

Explain the corrective action plan for all "Yes" answers.		
What date will corrective action be implemented by and	who is responsible for the implementation?	
Follow-up visits must be conducted within 45 days of the initial visit if correction action was required. What were the observations after the correction action was implemented?		
Site Representative & Title	Date	
SFA Monitor & Title	Date	